Anti-Capitalism

From Anti-Semitism

To "Anti-Racism"

# Anti-Capitalism From Anti-Semitism To "Anti-Racism"

## From Marx To Marks

Karl Marx and Frederich Engels, authors of *The Communist Manifesto*, are generally credited with the advent, or - more accurately - the discovery of, the class struggle. In reality, this concept predates *The Communist Manifesto* and was probably invented by the Chartist Leader Feargus O'Connor no later than 1844. (1) If not by some ancient Roman or Greek philosopher.

Although they are extremely coy about it nowadays, for obvious reasons, the early socialists, including the Jewish-born Karl Marx, were more than a little tainted with that most ignoble of pastimes, Jew-baiting. The fact that the Rothschilds and a number of other Jewish families were heavily involved in banking, and before that, usury, may have had something to do with that. The Jewish role in money-lending in Britain dates back to at least the 12th Century; the Mediaeval usurer Aaron of Lincoln (c1125-c1186) is said to have accrued a fortune second only to that of the king, (2) and it is sad but true nevertheless that the Jews in particular are judged by the worst rather than the best of their kind. (3)

With the rise of the Jewish bankers came speculation not simply that they were up to no good, but that they were involved in a conspiracy of worldwide extent against the *goyim*. In France in 1846, a 40 page pamphlet was published by one JUNIUS bearing the title *GRAND PROCES ENTRE ROTHSCHILD I<sup>ER</sup> ROI DES JUIFS ET SATAN DERNIER Rois des Imposteurs...*, which loses nothing in translation, and by 1875, a certain Frederick Millingen had published no less than seven editions of *Die Eroberung der Welt durch die Juden*, which translates as *World Conquest by the Jews*. (4)

This is not to say that bankers - including Jewish bankers - were not, and are not, up to no good. The late Professor Quigley, who was better placed to know than most, recorded that bankers sought [and still seek] to control the money supply, and that "[t]o do this it was necessary to conceal, or even to mislead, both governments and people about the nature of money and its methods of operation." (5) Another author, Gerald Krefetz, has written that "While there is no real evidence of an international conspiracy of Jewish bankers, some Jews in banking have conspired." (6) but he adds a word of caution that "...one of the oldest and most virulent anti-Semitic myths holds that Jews are driven by mercenary motives that the rest of mankind is innocent of." (7)

Be that as it may, there is a world of difference between parasitic bankers - Jew and Gentile - and Jewish merchants. Bankers create credit ex nihilo and then lend it, or rather sell it, to the state, corporations, entrepreneurs and ordinary working people. And this lending is done against the real wealth of the community. (8) On the other hand, merchants, whatever their race, provide a genuine service, supplying the public with the goods they demand.

During the latter part of the 19th Century, many Jews arrived in Britain fleeing from pogroms in Tsarist Russia. One such Jew went under the name of Michael Marks.

Born in Grodno, Russian Poland in June 1859, the young Michael Marks turned up in England in 1882. Marks was not only penniless and illiterate but spoke no English. The story of how he went on to found the Marks & Spencer supermarket chain - with his Gentile partner Tom Spencer - has become legendary and needs no retelling here, but very briefly he was given a five pound loan by a kindly businessman, (9) humped a pack on his back across the West Riding, then set up a stall in Leeds Market, and later, sold a share of his business to Tom Spencer. Marks died at a young age, soon after Spencer, and the former's family, after winning a boardroom battle with Spencer's executor, went on to become one of the most respected retailers in the world.

The partnership of Marks & Spencer was registered as a private limited company in 1903, by which time they owned a handsome thirty-six market bazaars and shops, including three in London, so by the time the First World War arrived, although the company's founder had been long gone, Marks & Spencer was a well established, reputable Jewish-owned firm. Not according to Captain Beamish though, for, along with his "Jew-wise" fellow travellers, Beamish saw the sinister hand of a Jewish conspiracy at work in the British economy. So who was Captain Beamish?

# Beamish, The Britons And The "Protocols"

Henry Hamilton Beamish (1874-1948) was the founder of the Britons Publishing Society; this Irish-born third son of a vice-admiral was also the first person to organise the dissemination of anti-Semitic propaganda in Britain, (the raison d'être of the Britons).

Beamish is said to have had a bizarre peripatetic career - travelling as far afield as Alaska, Ceylon and Japan - and to have discovered the Jewish world conspiracy during the Boer War. (10) The Britons was founded July 18, 1919 but ante-dated to July 18, 1918 for propaganda purposes. It had a mere fourteen members. (11) As well as publishing many editions of the notorious *Protocols Of Zion* (of which more anon), the Britons published a many titled anti-Semitic journal between February 1920 and June 1925. (12)

Other luminaries in the Britons Publishing Society were the financial reformer, inventor and entrepreneur Arthur Kitson; the distinguished camel doctor and (later) notorious fascist and anti-Semite Arnold Leese; (13) and a distinguished homeopath, Dr J.H. Clarke. In 1920, Beamish personally authored THE JEWS' WHO'S WHO, which was subtitled ISRAELITE FINANCE. ITS SINISTER INFLUENCE. The POPULAR EDITION, which appeared the following year, ran to 255 pages.

Had Michael Marks lived to see this he would have been horrified, because instead of being portrayed as industrious pioneers of the retail trade, the new breed of Jewish entrepreneurs were pictured as part and parcel of a megalithic conspiracy by which the Jews controlled the economy, pulling wires in and out of government, making the politicians and everyone else of importance dance to their tune. The government of David Lloyd George was referred to as the Jew-alition government; the Bolshevik Revolution was said to be the work of the Calmyc Jew Lenin; (14) Trotsky was said to have twenty-five young Christian girls in his harem; (15) and for good measure, the Bolsheviks were said to be funded by the International Jews of Wall Street. (16)

The early twenties also saw the appearance of the *Protocols Of Zion*, the minutes of an alleged secret meeting between Jewish world bankers and revolutionaries at which they plotted the conquest of the world. Although the *Protocols* first appeared in Tsarist Russia shortly after the turn of the century, (17) it didn't rear its ugly head in the West until 1920. The German translation *Die Geheimnisse der Weisen von Zion* went through six editions in that same year. (18) The first German publisher of the *Protocols* was Müller von Hansen (or von Hausen) alias Ludwig Müller. Another German champion of the *Protocols* was the leading anti-Semite Theodor Fritsch (1852-1933) whose hatemongering predated the birth of Hitler. As early as 1887 Fritsch had published *Antisemiten-Katechismus*. (19)

In 1920, the *Protocols* also reached England; published under the title *The Jewish Peril*, it initially bore the impression of the reputable firm of Eyre & Spottiswoode, before being quickly taken up by the Britons, which went on to publish over eighty editions over the next four decades! (20) The high Tory newspaper the *Morning Post* ran a series of articles on and around the theme of the *Protocols* and the Jewish Peril between July 10 and July 29, 1920. The articles, which were published under the generic title of *The Cause Of World Unrest*, were later collected together and published as a book on both sides of the Atlantic. When the *Protocols* were eventually exposed as a plagiarism of a 19th Century satire on Napoleon III, *The Dialogues In Hell*, (21) the *New Statesman* referred to the *Morning Post's* readers as suet-brained. (22)

In the United States, the *Protocols* and other Jewish conspiracy nonsense found an unlikely champion in the great industrial genius Henry Ford, founder of the Ford Motor Company, who used his vast wealth to spread their doctrine to the far corners of the Earth.

Ford claimed, and undoubtedly sincerely believed, that Jewish capitalists were a destructive force on the world political scene. In a 1938 interview with the *New York Times*, he said that probably no more twenty-five men who handled the nation's finances were "the world's real warmakers..." (23) Neglecting of course to mention that he had himself profited from war!

Ford had a famous admirer in Adolf Hitler, himself a champion of the *Protocols*, but Hitler's belief in the Jewish conspiracy went far beyond the mystical twaddle of the Elders of Zion, and had a more practical side to it. The Nazi Party programme promised the exclusion of all non-Germans from the future racial state, and stated candidly that it would order "Dismissal of all Jews and non-Germans from all responsible positions in public life" and the "Prevention of immigration of Eastern Jews and other parasitic foreigners. Undesirable foreigners and Jews to be deported." (24)

# From The Middle Ages To Weimar

The former leader of the Belgian Rexist Movement, General Leon Degrelle, wrote in his biography of Adolf Hitler (25) that "For more than a thousand years Europeans had sought to keep the Jews from dominating their various countries. Hitler would never tolerate the control of Germany by non-German aliens, and if they Jews did not like a Germany for the Germans they could simply leave". (26) Undoubtedly the General believed this, but the idea that Jews had, for a thousand years, sought to dominate the economies of Europe and that Europeans had fought desperately to prevent them from so doing, is a complete fantasy. When Michael Marks set up his stall in Leeds market under a placard bearing the now immortal legend "Don't Ask The Price, It's a Penny", the very last thing that was on his mind was dominating the economies of Europe.

And were the shoppers who patronised him - and on whom he depended for his livelihood - fighting a battle to prevent him and his kind from dominating the economy? In Germany as in Britain there were prosperous Jews. By 1908, Prussia alone had 747 millionaires (with more than 5 million Marks), and at least 162 of them were of Jewish extraction. (27) A stock claim of anti-Semites (and lunatics) is that when Jews are rich it is because they have become rich at the expense of the common people, that they are usurers, middlemen, non-producers. The preferred word is often parasites. Leaving aside the fact that middlemen bring together willing buyers and willing sellers and are entitled to make a profit, the charge of usury (or today banking) is one that can be levelled at Gentiles as much as Jews.

Although there is no escaping the fact that Jews were indeed heavily involved in usury, there were very good socio-economic reasons for this. The Church forbade usury, (28) so, incidentally, does the *Talmud*. (29) Alas, the Church also forbade adultery, and, as with a bit of the other, "Fear of eternal damnation and public disapproval were...very slack curbs on the activities of Christian lenders..." (30)

One author has written that "In Elizabethan England, usury burgeoned; and it was clearly not a matter that could be attributed to Jews, since virtually all of them had been banished from England in 1290." (31) Certainly during the Middle Ages money lenders were not exclusively Jews, even though they were often designated judaeus. In Italy for example, there were very many Christian judaei, half a dozen in Cremona alone. (32) And even when the borrowers were Jewish, they could not expect any favours from their usurious cousins, thus: the Jewish banchieri (pawnbrokers) of 16th Century Italy often charged interest to Jews. (33) For the 13th and most of the 14th Century, both Lombards and Jews were heavily involved in usury and pawnbroking; eventually the Jews supplanted them completely. (34) This is what General Degrelle would have interpreted as Jews attempting to dominate a sector of the economy. In reality, it was simply the inevitable result of unfettered capitalism: those who satisfy their customers' demands prosper, while those who do not, go to the wall.

The reason the Lombards went to the wall may have had something to do with their sharp practices, for in the 15th Century, Jewish banchieri were admitted to Turin "probably out of hatred of Christian lenders, and because they charged a lower interest..." (35) Although sometimes Christian and Jewish financiers worked together. (36) Incidentally, this last state of affairs is far less desirable than Jews and Christians competing, because it leads, inevitably, to monopoly, and is a fairly inevitable outcome if the state does not keep an eye on the market. As Professor Quigley tells us: "Business hates competition. Such competition might appear in various forms...[making] planning difficult, and [jeopardising] profits. Businessmen prefer to get together with competitors so that they can cooperate to exploit consumers to the benefit of profits instead of competing with each other to the injury of profits." (37) That should be obvious, and in fact the great free market economist Adam Smith expressed the same sentiment more succinctly with his goose quill pen some two hundred years before Professor Quigley sat down behind his typewriter: "People of the same trade seldom meet together, even for merriment and diversion, but the conversation ends in a conspiracy against the public, or in some contrivance to raise prices." (38)

Although Jews were well represented in banking in Weimar Germany, they were also involved in other, and more respectable, fields of commerce. In June 1933, a Nazi document "to be treated with due reserve but seemingly in the main reliable in many of its facts" revealed that of 147 members of the stock, produce, and metal exchanges, 116, almost 80 per cent, were of Jewish origin", while the management committee of the stock exchange was made up of twenty-five Jews and a mere eleven Gentiles. Twelve Jews and four non-Jews made up the management committee of the produce exchange and ten Jews and two non-Jews the management committee of the metal exchange. (39)

This apparent Jewish dominance may not be quite as complete as it appears, depending on how one defines the word Jew. It is common knowledge that the Nazis' obsession with the Jewish Question led them to classify many

non-Jews as Jews. The Jewish population of Britain at the present time is about 300,000. (40) In reality the majority of these "Jews" are more goy than yid, that is they are simply persons of Jewish origin; many of them have no strong religious affiliations or any religious affiliations at all. If one were to count only regular synagogue worshippers, the actual number would be far smaller; if one were to include only those who live under *Halakhah*, (41) it would be smaller still. Yet if one were to include anyone and everyone in the British Isles who had some Jewish ancestry, the number would very likely run into millions. (42) For example, the well-known journalist Nigella Lawson - daughter of the former Chancellor of the Exchequer - is of Jewish origin, yet she is as far removed from Jewry as any Jew could be. (43)

All the same, the Jewish contribution to the German economy was considerable. One author has noted that "Overall, there appears to be no justification for the widely held view that, in the time of the Weimar Republic, there were few people of Jewish origin involved prominently in industrial activity. On the contrary, at least until the onset of the Great Depression, their position in this field was one of relative prominence." (44) Unfortunately, the most prominent German-Jewish industrialist of the Weimar era never lived to see the onset of the Great Depression. Walter Rathenau, who was also Minister for Foreign Affairs, was murdered by fanatics in June 1922. There is good evidence that Rathenau's assassins were influenced by the notorious *Protocols Of Zion*. (45)

# Jews And Capitalism Under Hitler

When the Nazis took power the Jewish population of Germany was around 600,000. (46) In February 1933, a supplement to the Jewish Chronicle reported in an extensive analysis that German Jews accounted for the following: 2,208 lawyers, 3,670 doctors, 713 dentists, 374 authors, 31 actors, 113 singers and 41 editors. If correct, these figure are not so much remarkable as astounding: more than one German Jew in three hundred was a lawyer, and more than one in two hundred was a doctor. W.E. Mosse has written that "as proved by their economic success, members of the German-Jewish economic élite made a major contribution to the satisfaction of wants and, with it, to German economic development in general." (47) Now all the above is fairly incontrovertible, as any reasonable person would agree. Unfortunately though, Germany was soon to be ruled by people who were less reasonable than fanatical, and avowedly anti-Jewish. On January 30, 1933, Adolf Hitler was appointed Chancellor of Germany, and the Nazi era had begun.

It is well known that the Nazis mounted an anti-Jewish boycott on their ascension to power; it is less well known that this boycott lasted a single day and that Organised Jewry throughout the world, especially in the United States and Great Britain, organised a much further reaching boycott which was designed to bring Germany to its knees. The Jewish Chronicle for April 7, 1933 reported in a lengthy article The Martyrdom of German Jewry BRUTAL PROCESS OF ECONOMIC EXTINCTION... that "The [Nazi] boycott had a trial run of one day - and that day Shabbat - and was then ingloriously called off. Realising that he has brought the world about his ears, Hitler has sounded the retreat on the anti-Semitic front; but he has not yet surrendered, and a protracted war of attrition is facing German Jewry." (48)

Reports in the Jewish press at the time indicate that the Jewish boycott of Germany - which was supported by many of Germany's competitors! - had real teeth, and, in the words of Rabbi Goldstein, did a lot of harm. (49)

That being said, there can be no doubt that the Nazis planned to expel all Jews from the economy and eventually from the country, by one means or another. The reader should recall the pledge made in their official programme "Undesirable foreigners and Jews to be deported."

Although not all Nazis were raving anti-Semites, and some weren't even anti-Semites at all, (50) it is a sweeping but safe generalisation to say that the prevailing Nazi dogma was that Jews manipulated the economy for their own ends by some sinister - and as yet undisclosed - conspiratorial method(s), and that their presence in positions of power was detrimental to the German people. In short, they were parasites, vampires who sucked the life blood out of the economy. As a result of their blind adherence to this absurd dogma, the Nazis passed a series of increasingly repressive anti-Jewish laws.

While these legal measures were rightly condemned the world over, and while it is equally true that their purpose was to drive Jews out of positions of power, and out of the economy, and while there is no doubt that many of them were interpreted by constitutional experts as violations of the rule of law, it must be conceded that they were introduced and enforced within a framework of - what to us today would seem - bizarre justice. That is there was an adherence to the rule of law, or at least an attempt to adhere to it, which was sincere rather than cosmetic.

(51) To take just one example, by Autumn 1935 Jews were banned from exhibiting the swastika in their homes and offices, yet at one point three Jewish-owned shipping companies in Hamburg were said to have hoisted the swastika flag on their vessels, proudly it seems. (52) Early the following year it was reported that the Zionist banner had been recognised by the state and was entitled to police protection under the Nuremberg laws and the national flag regulations. (53)

This and other things considered, the expulsion of Jews from the economy was for real: the *Jewish Chronicle* of July 14, 1933 reported purges of Jewish professional workers and a new wave of anti-Jewish violence. (54) The same issue reported that the boycott was very much a two-way affair, for a correspondent told the paper that the professions had already begun to feel the pinch. "A dentist tells me that he has lost nearly all his Jewish patients. They now go to Jewish dentists,' he said, 'and I can hardly blame them." (55)

The April 6, 1934 issue reported that "In spite of Chancellor Hitler's definite instructions that Jews are not to be boycotted in business, Julius Streicher's stronghold of Franconia has seen...a torrent of propaganda [and] murder" and that "...the Union of German Clothing Manufacturers has refused to accept any business offers from non-Aryan agents or factory owners, unless they were wounded in the War." One can only describe this as schizophrenic, which is what the Nazi attitude towards the Jews was. However, the report on page 18 of the paper two weeks later was evidence more of pragmatism than of mental illness, for it was announced that at the start of the year, all the German-Jewish owners of the big steelworks who had been ejected by Hitler were quietly reinstated. Most of the Jews had also resumed their places in the banks. What can this have been?

The short answer seems to have been that, after the Nazis had finished railing at the Jewish control of the economy and purged these schemers and manipulators, they realised they had no one left to run the economy! Four years later the Jewish Chronicle reported that "The Nazis sometimes find it convenient to overlook the fact that some of the best brains in the Reich are contained in non-Aryan craniums. Several instances of this have lately come to light. Talented Jewish doctors and engineers, especially those under forty-five years of age, have been refused permission to leave Austria and have been given positions."

The paper cited the case of one Jewish doctor and one engineer, and "Two skilled workmen - a locksmith and a weaver [who] are known to have been given positions in Germany. Both were offered jobs when they appeared at the Government Unemployment Insurance Office recently to get their unemployment cards stamped. One of them is reported to have exclaimed: 'But I am a Jew; how can I accept the job?' The official answered testily: 'Nobody asked you whether you were a Jew or not.'" (56)

These anecdotes are corroborated to a degree by other sources. The *American Hebrew* newspaper reported in its November 2, 1934 issue that "...Jewish emigres of considerable means have been invited by the government to return, having received assurances that they will in no way be molested if they return and take part in the economic life of the nation." (57)

Private Jewish banks continued to operate in Nazi Germany until 1938. M.M. Warburg and Co. was *Aryanised* in 1938 but continued to operate under its old name. (58) At least one Jewish banking family was made honorary *Aryans*, (59) which is surely as gross an act of hypocrisy and double think as anyone could dream up: "You people are usurious parasites, you must be purged from the economy, however, you're better at what you do than anyone else, so when it suits us, you can serve our ends." So much for the evils of usury.

Incidentally, for all the talk about building a new thousand year Reich, there is good evidence that Hitler was really a closet Conservative and simply wanted to continue "business as usual" notwithstanding his own peculiar ideas about race and the Jewish Question. (60) In December 1934, the *American Hebrew* newspaper reported that Gottfried Feder had been dismissed. This was said to have been part of the continuation of the Roehm purge. Feder had continued to demand the nationalisation of the banks and the financing of public works through non-interest bearing treasury notes. He was said also to have come into conflict with the industrialists who had backed Hitler. (61) Feder, it will be recalled, was the author of the party's twenty-five point programme, (62) one of whose demands read in part "Relief of the State, and hence of the nation, from its indebtedness to the great financial houses which lend on interest...Provision of money for all great public objects...not by means of loans, but by granting non-interest bearing State bonds or without using ready money...Creation of a national bank of business development (currency reform) for granting non-interest bearing loans." (63)

If the fact that the M.M. Warburg bank continued to trade under its old name is surprising, the reason it continued to do so is bizarre. In September 1938, less than two months before the infamous Kristallnacht, the *Jewish Chronicle* reported that all the big stores in Berlin which were formerly Jewish-owned bore signs showing that they were *Aryanised* but retained their Jewish names to trade off the goodwill of their previous owners! For the record, Jewish influence in the field of finance had been waning long before the rise of Hitler. In 1933, the *Jewish Chronicle* reported that "...the foreign exchange business, which forty years ago was practically monopolised

in this country by Jews, is now almost entirely out of their hands." (64) And the current writer was once told by a Rabbi that the Rothschild family are now Gentiles, because they had long since married out. In his will, the founder of the Rothschild dynasty, Mayer Amschel Rothschild (1743-1812) ruled that female Rothschilds who married out would be excluded from the business (along with their spouses). Key posts were to be held by immediate members of the family. (65) This fine sentiment appears not to have been upheld.

The Nazis' hypocrisy and double standards on the Jewish Question continued well into the Second World War. The following is a distillation of such reports from the contemporary Jewish Chronicle.

March 26, 1937, page 22: Nazi officials found to be patronising Jewish doctors. It had previously been announced that all *Aryans* so doing would be publicly named. This changed their minds. The German Ministry of Propaganda decided otherwise.

May 14, 1937, page 16: Rumanian lawyers were said to have adopted an anti-Jewish bar; similar measures enacted in Poland against lawyers and doctors.

An article NAZIS WANT SKILLED JEWISH LABOUR: Thousands Employed in Berlin Factories, which appeared in the February 10, 1939 issue reported that the German Labour Front had asked the Berlin Jewish Communal Board to supply it with 1,200 skilled Jewish workers, mainly mechanics, engineers, electricians and carpenters, and that they have been promised the same remuneration as that fixed for Aryans.

In the same issue it was reported that Aryan women in Vienna were calling for the reinstatement of Jewish doctors during an influenza epidemic.

The March 10, 1939 issue ran an article: NAZIS CONSCRIPT JEWISH LABOUR Official Order to Employers TO BE GIVEN WORK "AS SOONAS POSSIBLE" on the conscription of unemployed Jews. But didn't they control the economy?

The May 31, 1940 issue reported NAZIS NEED JEWISH DOCTORS Unable to Cope With Wounded. The authorities were said to be recruiting Jewish doctors in Vienna in spite of the ban. Perhaps they had at last heeded the pleas of the women of Vienna the previous year? The June 28 issue reported that "Jewish doctors incarcerated for years in concentration camps and prisons have been released and hurried to the various military hospitals to alleviate the suffering of the wounded Nazis." They had even been invited from Poland! The July 19 issue reported NAZI SHORTAGE OF DOCTORS Jews Made to Tend Wounded. 1,250 Jewish doctors and 940 dentists were said to have been conscripted. Obviously race is of little importance to someone with a a broken leg or toothache.

Jewish doctors remained in heavy demand throughout the war. The April 25, 1941 issue reported 200,000 GERMAN WOUNDED Jewish Doctors Called In; (this referred to the campaign in Greece). The August 22, 1941 issue reported JEWISH DOCTORS RELEASED FROM CONCENTRATION CAMPS. Due to the "heroic resistance" of the Russian Army, they were needed to treat German casualties. Several hundred were said to have been released. Those who declined Herr Hitler's kind offer were rewarded with the death penalty! And, in the February 6, 1942 issue, the German Ministry of Health was said to have lifted its ban on Jewish doctors and dentists, including those recently released from concentration camps. A scarlet fever epidemic was said to be spreading.

On March 28, 1941, the Jewish Chronicle reported the Aryanisation of 20,000 Jewish businesses in Holland, but although Jews were not deemed suitable to own businesses, they were, evidently, considered suitable to die for Germany, for the very next page of the same issue reported GERMANY CALLS UP HALF-JEWS. "Half-Jews" and men up to age 55 were to be called up for military service. Including a number of German-Jewish scientists released from internment camps for consultation purposes.

The previous month a report in the paper claimed that a pro-Nazi publication, GARDISTA, had taken to task the policy of Aryanising businesses in Slovakia. Confiscating businesses from Jews and handing them over to inexperienced goyim was said to be sending them to the wall and wrecking the economy. (66)

Later that same year the paper reported that the Nazis were taking steps to win over world opinion. In Slove Least Jews were being rounded up for forced labour, while Jewish doctors, who hadn't been good enough for Appendix the period 1933-9, were now being asked (or ordered) to tend the war wounded at the Rothschild Jewish Hospital in Vienna.

As well as forced labour, Jews were being invited to work in Germany on a voluntary basis. "Influential Jess" were told they could return to Germany and Aryanise their names. This was to restore the backing of poserful world-financial interests" lost by earlier persecutions. German Consulates in Switzerland were said to be inviting Jews to return to Germany because of an acute labour shortage. Work was promised for every Jew who returned. (67) It is doubtful if many availed themselves of this most generous offer. Especially as by this time many of the concentration camps were full of Jews.

Also, Jews were reported to have been recruited by the Nazis as food controllers in Rumania, and, elsewhere, to have been forced to act as black marketeers. (68) In the former case, they were said to have been recruited because they were bilingual, but the real reason appears to have been to scapegoat them. (69) (Ie, so that the Jews would be blamed for the inevitable food shortages rather than the Nazis). This concludes our survey of Jews and capitalism in Nazi Germany.

# From Anti-Fascism To Anti-Capitalism

Today, anti-Nazis everywhere claim that the Nazi régime was totalitarian; some anti-Nazis - the American John Birch Society and the British Freedom Association for example - equate National Socialism with international socialism. This is not entirely accurate because Nazi Germany was neither a totalitarian state nor a socialist one. Hitler is said to have chosen the word socialism deliberately, so that people would identify with its pleasing connotations. (70)

That notwithstanding, we can identify certain features of the Nazi attitude towards the Jews which have ominous parallels in the so-called Free World today, and they are all the more ominous because, like the numerous anti-Jewish decrees the Nazis passed, they too have become increasingly enshrined in law. These are the singling out and persecution of an industrious minority, the laying of all the world's troubles at their feet, crazy and for the most part unfalsifiable conspiracy theories, (71) and increasingly hostile discriminatory legislation, racial quotas for example. We will return to this shortly, but first we will take a brief look at how the failure of Nazism has been used to discredit the success of capitalism.

When the British liberated Belsen on April 15, 1945, they found approximately 13,000 bodies of emaciated and disease-ridden inmates lying around the camp in appalling scenes of horror, and a great many people dying of both disease and hunger. Similar scenes were found at other camps, including Dachau. When Mauthausen camp was liberated, some 700 bodies were found lying around the camp - significantly fewer than Belsen - but over two hundred were still dying every day from malnutrition, typhus, tuberculosis and other diseases. By June (ie after the liberation) they were still dying at 5-15 per week. (72)

On May 8, 1945, a small article on the front page of *The Yorkshire Post and Leeds Mercury* reported that four million people had been murdered at Auschwitz. This was a Soviet dispatch; the anti-capitalist nature of the propaganda is clearly visible here, (73) and indeed this has been maintained in the Holocaust literature down through the years. In 1957, an official Polish publication reported that at Auschwitz, up to twelve kilogrammes of gold were extracted daily from the teeth of murdered prisoners. A team of SS dentists and forty inmates was employed on this gruesome work. (74) The same book claimed that there was documentary evidence that over 100 tons of bone pulp from murdered victims was sold for industrial processing. (75) In February 1995, the Trotskyite Socialist Workers Party published an article on Auschwitz which claimed *inter alia* that Auschwitz was the logical outcome of not only *racism* but of capitalism. (76) The message was that in order to prevent another Holocaust (77) one must oppose capitalism as much as one must oppose Nazism. In other words, socialism is the only salvation of mankind. Subtle they aren't!

However, in spite of the emblematic name of Auschwitz, it has always been the horrific scenes at Belsen that have been the primary focus of "anti-racist" propaganda. Even today such scenes are bandied around as proof not only of the alleged Nazi genocide of the Jews but as proof of the evils of racism, AND of capitalism.

Indeed, although many so-called anti-fascists don't agree on or even understand what fascism is, (78) the idea that it is in some sense the strong arm of the capitalist state or a tool of the ruling class figures heavily in most anti-capitalist propaganda. This is a convenient fiction for the Socialist International, because although their tyrannical, repressive and downright inefficient system of government had already been thoroughly discredited before the start of the war, the end of the Second World War allowed them to focus the eyes of the world exclusively on the horrors of Nazi Germany. The fact that by that time countless millions of Russians and others had already been murdered and enslaved by socialism/communism was conveniently ignored. The victims of communism, socialism and enforced human "equality" lay in countless unmarked graves hidden from prying Western eyes, whereas in Belsen one could see the ultimate horror, the inevitable result of racism.

All manner of nonsense was churned out about the wicked Nazis and their plans to exterminate all *inferior races*. Hitler was said to have wanted to extend the Third Reich to the rest of the world and to rule over a race of blond-haired, blue-eyed supermen. Anyone who wasn't both blond and blue-eyed would presumably be shovelled

off to the gas chambers along with the Jews. (79) This included by definition anyone who wasn't white, so the communist concept of class struggle - itself a convenient fiction - could be redefined in terms of race.

It wasn't that all whites were seen as oppressors, nor that all non-whites were seen as oppressed, except in the most general sense, but to be a good socialist one had to be also an "anti-racist". This didn't happen overnight, (80) but incredibly, as time went by the propaganda against racism intensified and the identification of racism with Nazism and with the gas chambers became more or less automatic.

This is rather ironic, because not only has socialism - and the doctrine of human "equality" - murdered far more human beings than even the most virulent form of racism, (81) but because the Second World War was neither a struggle against fascism nor a struggle against racism but simply another Imperialist war, which in its earlier stages not even the communists denied. Indeed, along with fascists, Western communists opposed the entry of first Britain and then the United States into the war. (82) At one time there was even an organisation called the Campaign Against Fascism And War. This is all now conveniently forgotten.

Also conveniently forgotten is the *racism* and poisonous hatred that was spewed out by the Allies not only against the Germans but against the Japanese. A classic example of this was the 1943 *Batman* series that was shown in American cinemas. Here, the Axis agent was the evil Jap, Dr Daka, a yellow-skinned fiend. (83)

That being said, with the influx into Britain of West Indians after the war, and later of Indians and other Asians, the communist and extreme socialist left quietly shifted their rhetoric from anti-capitalism to "anti-racism". (84) Ironically, many Asians came to Britain because they had been expelled by the black racist Idi Amin. Britons who objected to their being allowed in were smeared as racists, but little or nothing was said about the antics of Amin, who, according to the French author Jean-François Revel "in a few years exterminated hundreds of thousands of Ugandans, expelled the Indian merchants and shopkeepers (without the slightest trace of racism, needless to say), ruined both agriculture and commerce, and transformed this land of milk and honey into a museum of horrors." (85)

According to some estimates, the number of those murdered under Amin's rule was around two hundred thousand, while a Washington source estimated that 100,000 to 200,000 were murdered in the three years following Amin's departure! (86) As the population of Uganda was about 15 million, that was quite a Holocaust.

Whatever the racial aspects of the Ugandan Holocaust (under both Amin and his successors), it is certainly possible to interpret this genocide in Marxist terms, as part of a struggle against the ruling class (real or imagined). There are strong parallels between the Asians of Uganda, and of many other countries, with the Jews of Mediaeval Europe. There, the Court Jews acted as physicians, advisers, and the like to the king; Jews were heavily involved in commerce as traders, merchants and bankers, and were often comparatively wealthy. (87)

While the persecution or murder of Jews is today unmentionable in far left circles, (88) ditto Asians, it is perfectly permissible in their eyes to incite the murders of capitalists, whatever their ethnic origins, and indeed of police officers, members of the judiciary, and any and every other servant of "the ruling class". Frequently the minions of the far left actually do this, (89) and see nothing at all wrong with it. The Spring '95 issue of the violent hate sheet *Green Anarchist* plumbed new depths when on its back page it slagged off the mystic David Icke as an anti-Semite (90) and in an adjacent article praised the murders of three French policemen. This is sheer lunacy, one can do no better here than echo the words of Revel: "All things considered, I prefer discrimination without murder to murder without discrimination." (91)

# From Jewish Conspiracy To White Anglo-Saxon Conspiracy - 1

When Hamilton Beamish and his fellow travellers in the Britons observed the prominence of Jews in finance and commerce, they interpreted this apparent dominance as a conspiracy. I say apparent because there is in reality no such thing as financial or commercial sovereignty. As the great free market economist Ludwig von Mises put it: (92) "Every entrepreneur and every owner of means of production must daily justify his social function through subservience to the wants of the consumers." (93)

In July 1962, the British Nazi leader Colin Jordan organised a rally in London's Trafalgar Square under the slogan "Free Britain From Jewish Control". This led to a riot. (94) Certainly anyone who attempted to rally or march under such a banner today would be subjected to the same sort of treatment. In short, they would be assaulted, spat on, hounded in the street and at their places of work, and treated like lepers. It is in fact very doubtful even if they would be permitted by the authorities to rally or march, such would be the public outrage

the very suggestion would cause. (95) Be that as it may, there is one minority which is targeted every day by lobbying organisations, the mainstream media, and anyone else who wants to have a go: the White Anglo-Saxon Male.

The reason for this is that for the greater part of this century, and for some considerable time before it, the world economy has been dominated, and indeed largely built by, the white Anglo-Saxon. This is a simple historical fact. When the great historian Arthur Toynbee wrote that the Negro had never made a creative contribution to any civilisation, he wasn't being bigoted, simply accurate. (96) Leaving aside the non-contribution of the black race, whatever the contribution of the non-white races to history, they are dwarfed by those of the white race. It was the white race which, almost single-handedly, built the United States and before that the great civilisations of Europe, and the civilisations of the ancient world, including Rome, Greece, and Egypt. This is a demonstrable fact that is hardly worth debating.

In recent years, "Afro-Centrists" and others have formulated a politically correct history of the ancient world. The claim has been made that the Egyptians were black, that great civilisations existed in Africa and all manner of nonsense. The plain truth though is that this "history" has been made up out of the whole cloth; one "cultural anthropologist" even admitted it in so many words. In 1941, Melville Herskovits wrote: "Let us suppose, in short, it could be shown that the Negro is a man with a past and a reputable past; that in time the concept could be spread that the civilizations of Africa, like those of Europe, have contributed to American culture as we know it today; and that this idea might eventually be taken over into the canons of general thought. Would this not, as a practical measure, tend to undermine the assumptions that bolster racial prejudice?" (97) In other words, African history is a myth, but we should invent it in order (supposedly) to combat that most insidious of evils, racism, and to promote peace, love, tolerance and the brotherhood of man. (Backed up by repressive "anti-racist" legislation, that is).

The ruins at Zimbabwe (98) have long been the subject of the most bizarre theories by Afro-Centrists, but serious scholars dismiss them as the fantasies they are. (99)

Of course, civilisation is a double edged sword, and the great irony is that the creative genius of the white man has also been used to wreak havoc and destruction on a colossal scale. Rather than invent mythical histories or, worse still, carp on about *racism*, the Negro should take solace in the fact that if he didn't get round to inventing the wheel, he didn't invent the H-Bomb, napalm or germ warfare either, nor any other weapon or method of mass destruction. However, rather than apply such faultless logic, the Socialist International and their fellow travellers had invented the theory of *racism* to explain - or explain away - the alleged backwardness of the black race and the "social disadvantage" of many non-white minorities. The fact that many of these minorities - including many blacks, incidentally - now enjoy a higher standard of living than many whites, is something which is conveniently overlooked. But then, no committed socialist has ever allowed the facts to stand in the way of a good story.

The theory of *racism* is principally a conspiracy theory. That doesn't mean, automatically, that it is incorrect: conspiracies exist everywhere, but to be meaningful a theory has to be falsifiable, that is, it must be possible to prove it wrong if the facts do not fit. (100) The concept of *racism* appears to have been invented, or largely invented, by the founding father of "cultural anthropology", Franz Boas (1858-1942). (101) Boas's "theory" is that, essentially, there is no such thing as race, and that our environment is the sole determinant of intelligence, and virtually every other human characteristic. This theory is not only demonstrably bogus but equally demonstrably politically motivated. (102)

Since Boas's death, and since the cynical exploitation of Holocaust propaganda by the far left, this "theory" has been developed by leaps and bounds. Just as every new generation of Germans is tainted with the original sin of the alleged Nazi extermination programme, so is every white person tainted with the original since of *racism*. Apparently, all white people are inherently anti-black and have an innate propensity to send all blacks (103) to the gas chambers and turn them into lampshades. The line that has been sold - and is still being sold - is that *racism* (whatever it is), arose out of capitalism, and *you can't have capitalism without racism*. (104)

In Britain, this lying propaganda has been pushed at street level first and foremost by the Socialist Workers Party. It was probably the SWP who coined the trite phrase "racism and sectarianism divides workers". As with most socialist propaganda, this nonsense is based principally on slogans which have no basis in fact. Nazi Germany was defeated in 1945, but racist South Africa didn't come into being in a meaningful sense until the introduction of Apartheid in 1948. The reader will doubtless be aware that, up until the fall of Apartheid, South Africa was subjected to a campaign of blind, unconditional hatred by the "anti-racist" lobby such as even Nazi Germany never experienced.

# **Apartheid** = Socialism

Throughout the 1960s, 70s and 80s there were angry protests and disruptions of sporting events in which touring South African sportsmen were subjected to the most outrageous abuse. There were campaigns against South African products; there was even a continuous picket outside the South African Embassy in London. The hatred was blind, it was unreasoning, it was totally impervious to argument, and it was directed not simply at the alleged policy of white supremacy enacted by the South African government, but against capitalism.

People who have visited South Africa, especially in the 1970s and early 80s, frequently tell a different story. Some time ago the current writer had cause to research articles from the South African press for the mid to late 1970s, and what I found amazed me. The press was aimed not simply at whites but at all races; the sports pages were often devoted to the achievements of black sportsmen, blacks and other non-whites featured in the advertising, in short, there was not the slightest manifestation of racial hatred. Indeed, when an organisation calling itself the South African National Front reared its ugly head and began causing trouble, there were demands for it to be prosecuted for incitement to racial hatred. (105)

Anti-Apartheid activists - many of them dyed-in-the-wool socialist agitators - were responsible also for the large scale imposition of sanctions against South Africa, and a policy of disinvestment which could only harm those who were at the bottom of the socio-economic scale, principally the blacks. (106) Apartheid was represented in the Western media as the most unacceptable face of capitalism, but the truth is very different, because in the first place Apartheid is actually a form of socialism, and in the second place the greatest opposition to Apartheid has come always from capital, and its grass roots support has come from the white working class.

The Apartheid system enshrined racial discrimination in law; in the pre-Apartheid South Africa of 1911, white gold miners earned 11.7 times blacks in 1911, and in 1951 they earned 14.7 times as much. (107) In 1907, 1913 and 1914, a series of strikes by white mine workers forced mine owners to reserve some semi-skilled work for whites. Eventually, the Chamber of Mines broke its agreement and replaced some highly paid whites with blacks; as a result of this, white miners formed armed commandos under the slogan "Workers of the World Unite, and Fight for a White South Africa." !! (108)

Further evidence of the racelessness of capital as opposed to the apparent bigotry of labour comes from a contemporary report by an American scholar: "In Natal to-day the suggestion that Indian labor should no longer be imported is met by an outcry from the planters, the farmers, and landowners, and a certain number of manufacturers, that industries and agriculture will be ruined." (109) Interestingly, the same author wrote of socialism that "in every quarter of the globe, in Asia, Africa, Latin America, and the United States, Bolshevik agitators whisper in the ears of discontented colored men their gospel of hatred and revenge. Every nationalist aspiration, every political grievance, every social discrimination, is fuel for Bolshevism's hellish incitement to racial as well as to class war." (110) Which hits the nail right on the head; even way back then "anti-racism" was about hatred, not about racial tolerance, peace, love and the brotherhood of man.

Finally, a much more contemporary example. In a letter to the London *Times*, August 3, 1963, page 7, which was published under the heading *HOUSING FOR THE COLOURED*, a Mr Michael Cookman protested that it was not landlords but tenants who objected to both furnished and unfurnished accommodation being let to non-whites. His letter ended with the words: "it is time that the public appreciated that their own views on racial discrimination are the cause of some of the difficulties of coloured people finding accommodation because the tenants are part of the public."

All this has been conveniently written out of socialist history books and sociology texts; the point is that a capitalist, any capitalist, would rather employ blacks at a lower rate than whites at a higher rate. In a free market, wage rates tend to harmonise; the *only* way capitalists can be forced to pay whites more is by legislation: fixing wage rates, quotas, and that sort of thing. This may be many things, including *racism*, but one thing it manifestly is not, is capitalism. For the record, the greatest racial struggles in South Africa have never been between black and white, rather they have been between the British and the Afrikaaners on the one hand, and the various black tribes on the other, in particular between the Zulus and the Xhosas.

# From Jewish Conspiracy To White Anglo-Saxon Conspiracy - 2

The dominance of the white Anglo-Saxon male will not last forever, and indeed is rapidly coming to an end. There is no single reason for this, one reason though is that as other nations develop - particularly the Far Eastern nations - the franchise, equality of opportunity, and most of all, education, are extended to an increasingly broad spectrum of the population. We are all of us beneficiaries of a common cultural inheritance, (111) which means that the genius and industriousness of previous generations of inventors, entrepreneurs and reformers belongs to us all regardless of race, religion or creed, as rightly it should.

Be that as it may, there are some people - principally socialists and their fellow travellers - who are not, and never will, be satisfied that the lot of mankind is steadily improving. These people don't ask for rights, they demand privileges, and the way they set about obtaining them is through the "anti-racist" industry. "Anti-racism" is based primarily on lies, deception and hatred, far more so than Nazism, because in the first place the Nazis really did believe that Jewish capitalists and entrepreneurs were parasites rather than benefactors, and their hatred of Jewry was unconcealed: the Nazi Party programme stated quite candidly that Jews would be disenfranchised.

On the other hand, the "anti-racist" industry is funded by a) the government and b) private foundations, (112) either way, it is a parasite which owes its existence to the wealth of others. (113) It remains to be seen how much of their own propaganda "anti-racists" believe, but the fact that they have used the criminal law - and in some cases naked tyranny - to silence all opposition, speaks volumes for their self-confidence.

The fundamental premise of the "anti-racist" lobby is the unique wickedness of the white race, and a belief that white people have an inherent desire to exterminate all non-whites, though not necessarily in gas chambers as the Nazis were alleged to have done to the Jews. The only thing that will prevent whites from organising this genocide at some time in the near future is large doses of something called "anti-racism", and when the poison doesn't work, double the dose.

It would be over-simplistic to attribute the growth of "anti-racism" and all the associated nonsense to any one group of people, organised body or ideology, but the groups most responsible have been the Socialist International, Organised (Zionist) Jewry, left wing academics, (114) professional lobbyists, the misnamed women's movement, various ethnic minority pressure groups, and, increasingly and most alarmingly, the organised homosexual movement. There is a great deal of overlap here, and the claims by conspiracy theorists that the "anti-racist" movement constitutes an homogeneous entity dedicated to the extermination of the white race are grossly over-simplistic. All of these groups follow their own agendas, and frequently they fight each other, and even amongst themselves. (115)

Whatever their internecine squabbles though, the "anti-racist" movement always presents a united front against the common enemy. Any manifestation of race consciousness by white people is denounced as racism. Any suggestion that racial differences might be innate, or even that they exist, is denounced as racism. Any hostility towards forced race-mixing or miscegenation is racism. Any opposition to unrestricted immigration is racism. Any and all inadequacies of any minority (unless that minority is white) is racism. Any patterns in employment, crime, success, achievement, etc, which indicate, or appear to indicate, that whites are better at some things than non-whites, can only be racism.

Although the word conspiracy is never used in this connection, there is little if any difference between the Jewish world conspiracy of the Nazis - or even of the *Protocols Of Zion* - and the concept of institutionalised *racism*, be it in government, industry, the media, or anywhere else. The final goal of the "anti-racist" movement - although it is never stated in such explicit terms - is the total extermination of the white race. (116) In the short term, its aim is to deracinate whites - and to some extent, non-whites - and to destroy what is left of the free enterprise system by increasingly repressive bureaucratic controls.

# "Discrimination" And Socio-Economic Patterns: Myth And Reality - 1

It is a staple of far right propaganda that most street crime is black, and that much of this, or most of it, is black on white. A great deal has been written about this subject by white *racists* for purely propaganda purposes, and

much of it is spurious and quite transparent nonsense, but at the end of the day there is a large and growing black underclass, an underclass that is responsible for far more street crime than its white counterpart, as even black "civil rights" activists now admit. (117) The explanation offered by the "anti-racist" lobby is racism. Indeed, this is the only explanation that is acceptable on peril of being branded racist.

Similarly, the lack of success - or apparent lack of success - of blacks in certain professions, the small number employed in the police, perhaps, is again the fault of *racism*. One of the methods adopted for combating this alleged racial disadvantage is something that is called affirmative action in the United States, or positive discrimination in Britain. Positive discrimination is a semantic nonsense, since all discrimination is in some sense positive. To discriminate means simply to choose.

What is conveniently overlooked here is that while blacks may be (supposedly) under-represented in the hard sciences, and many other fields, they are vastly over-represented (whatever that means) in many fields besides mugging old ladies. The most obvious is sport, heavyweight boxing in particular, which, since the ascent to the title of Joe Louis, has been dominated by blacks, most of them Americans. There are many other areas in the sporting field which are dominated by blacks also. In September 1995, Sir Roger Bannister, the first man to record a sub four minute mile, (in 1954), was widely reported to have said that blacks had an innate advantage over whites - and indeed over other races - when it came to running. (118) This simple observation by someone who might well be expected to know what he was talking about, caused a minor controversy.

The simple truth is that racism is not any sort of explanation at all for any of the above; one does not explain a phenomenon merely by putting a label on it. Leaving that aside, there is an enormous fallacy in the rhetoric of the "anti-racist" lobby, this is the unstated premise that there is a problem here, and that racism, whatever it is meant to be, and however broadly defined, is the cause of this problem. The reality though is different: the reality is that so-called racial disadvantage is no such thing, what we are witnessing in the "over-representation" of young blacks amongst the underclass, and all the other alleged manifestations of racism is simply the natural social order. In short, we are witnessing not racism at work, but different socio-economic patterns.

# A Laughable News Report

I swear the following is true, and anyone who doubts me should consult the relevant issue of the *TV Times* and the relevant day's press reports. On June 1, 1994, a report on that evening's Channel 4 news programme claimed that discrimination is still rife in the workplace in Britain. Blacks and Asians suffer discrimination, so do women, and yes, you guessed it, black and Asian women suffer double discrimination. Even though, get this, black and Asian women have better qualifications than white women! (119) Now here comes the punch-line: the news that night was read by two non-white women, Zeinab Badawi and Shahnaz Pakravan. At least one report was filed by Eleanor Goodman, and the following night we were graced with the presence of Miss Anyar Sitahram!

The message was the same as ever: we need more "anti-racist" (and anti-sexist!) legislation, more public money - your money, sucker - spent on overcoming this supposed racial (and sexual) disadvantage, so that these glamorous young Asian starlets who already earn three, four or more times the national average can bleat on about how they are getting such a raw deal at your expense. One wonders how all this must go down with unemployed white youths in Sunderland (and older men everywhere who may never have a full time job again). (120) The message they will undoubtedly hear is that public money that could be spent creating jobs for them (121) is to be spent instead on paying inflated salaries to anti-discrimination industry bureaucrats, and the likes of Zeinab Badawi and Shahnaz Pakravan, who are already doing very nicely, thank you!

# "Discrimination" And Socio-Economic Patterns: Myth And Reality - 2

The world professional snooker championship was first contested in 1927. To date - 1995 - the only overseas player who has ever won the title - has been the (white) Canadian Cliff Thorburn. Although it is not true that *every* heavyweight "world champion" since Joe Louis is or has been black, (122) the vast majority of them have. Now

there is, as far as I know, no colour bar in sport. (123) Boxing has always been a fairly unbigoted sport; in 1892, the last of the bare-knuckle champions, John L. Sullivan, boasted that he had never fought a Negro and never would, but there has been little in the way of *racism* in the sport since then. (124) And there has certainly never been any sort of colour bar in snooker. (125)

The two above examples illustrate the irrelevance of *racism* to sport. Many more such examples could be adduced, recall for example Sir Roger Bannister's comment about black runners. There are other factors of course: a poor country will obviously have fewer opportunities for sportsmen than a rich one, although that hasn't prevented Cuba from turning out a disproportionate number of world class amateur boxers. Most of the Negroes who have aspired to the heavyweight championship (126) owe as much to their American heritage as to their African genes. An African Hope champion is almost as big a joke as a White Hope. (127)

Now let us apply the same logic to business. We have seen already that the entrepreneurial success of Jewish merchants in the late 19th and early 20th Centuries was interpreted by the Britons, the Nazis and others as a conspiracy. Curiously, no one seems to have placed a similar interpretation on the activities of Patel, Khan and Dar in buying up half the corner shops in Britain. This figure is no exaggeration. An article in the London Evening Standard of May 18, 1981 quoted a prediction by the managing director of the Spa supermarket chain that more than half of Britain's independent grocery stores may be owned by Asian shopkeepers in 5 years "because they give customers what they want". (128)

In some areas, far more than half the retail outlets are owned by Asians. Most of the electrical shops in London's Tottenham Court Road are owned by them. To my personal knowledge, there are entire streets in the East End of London, Southall and other places in which the shops are owned entirely or almost entirely by Asians. It stands to reason therefore that if Mr Patel - aided by Mrs Patel and cousins on both sides of the family - are busy buying up half the retail trade, they won't have a lot of time for serving as government officials, customs officers, social workers, and many other things. Therefore the claim that racism and racism alone is responsible for blacks, Asians, and any other minority being "under-represented" in some profession or other, is as demonstrably false as the claim that some minorities "under-achieve" in certain academic subjects or other fields. There is no "problem" of under-representation any more than there is a problem of under-achievement, what there are, are different socio-economic patterns for different races, ethnic, and other groups.

One further piece of evidence will be adduced to support this hypothesis. The distinguished American economist Thomas Sowell has written that in the United States one of the most "get-ahead" groups are West Indian immigrants. (129) You would be hard pressed to find anyone in Britain - even the most fawningly sickeningly, pro-race-mixing liberal, who would claim that West Indians in Britain are "get-ahead". Sowell adds that "It is, incidentally, a common pattern among immigrants to eventually overtake native-born people of the same ancestry." (130)

# From Race Relations To Race Tyranny

I do not propose in this short study to discuss the social engineering and other tyranny that has been inflicted on this country - and on many others - in the guise of improving race relations, protecting (supposedly) powerless minorities, (131) and promoting equal opportunities for all regardless of race, colour or creed, but I will point out that the outlawing of a lot of practices in the employment field by our increasingly repressive legislators has been as detrimental to many people's employment prospects as to race relations. At times, the most absurd warnings about recruitment practices have been issued by these fascistic quangos. (132)

In 1986, the Flag, the newspaper of the National Front, reported that a Coventry firm called Edgar Clews had placed an advertisement (presumably) in the local press which included the words "Must live locally". The company was subsequently warned by the so-called Commission for Racial Equality that because few blacks and Asians lived in the area the advert could be deemed illegal by discriminating against them indirectly! (133) This is too ridiculous for words. Who pays these people to spend hours pouring over newspaper and other advertisements to scrutinise them minutely for the most absurd suggestions of bias or discrimination? The answer to that question is you! The taxpayer. It is you who are paying these people to destroy your freedom, and the economy, and to tyrannise and intimidate others.

Other instances of petty tyranny and absurdity are not difficult to find. One of the first cases to be referred to (or sought out by) the race tyrants in the 1960s was that of a doctor in Eastbourne who advertised for a "Scottish daily help able to do plain cooking including porridge"! (134)

Nor were minorities exempt: there was, for example, the case of the Pakistani who was accused of race prejudice for putting a notice in his window "Wanted - English Lodger". (135) Actually he wanted someone to teach his children English! Ironically, the CRE itself has not been free from charges of racism. At one point "the Home Affairs Committee...pointed out, that 51 per cent of the commission's staff were either black or Asian - hardly their entitlement under a racial quota regime." (136)

Though surely the most absurd story in this field comes from the United States. In 1992, the magazine American Renaissance reported that the owner of a Chicago company fired one of his female employees (who happened to be black) for rudeness and inefficiency. The woman he hired to replace her was black, but that didn't stop her reporting him to the "Illinois Department of Human Rights" (I kid you not) for the heinous crime of racism. Not only did the entrepreneur concerned replace the fired woman with another black woman, but sixty-three of his eighty-three strong workforce were non-white. So what did the "Illinois Department of Human Rights" do? It asked him "to prove that he hadn't hired so many minorities just to make it look as though he didn't discriminate" !!! (137) Did anyone ever hear of such madness?

On the job front, it is a well documented fact that in business, finding staff, customers, or whatever, much recruiting is done by word of mouth. (138) One might ask how many Asian shopkeepers place advertisements in the local job centre for staff? The answer is almost certainly none, or very few. Most such positions are filled from within the extended family.

# Why There Is Chaos In Black Africa

The infrastructure of Black Africa, as far as any exists, was built by White brains. This is a documented fact. We have already alluded to the fact that the Negro never got around to inventing the wheel, much less a higher civilisation. However, the theory of Imperialism developed by communists, socialists and fellow travellers and expounded in lengthy, and for the most part vacuous, social(ist) studies in academia, has given the world an entirely different reason for the alleged backwardness of Black Africa. According to the Cloud Cuckooland theory of Imperialism, the West someone sucked the wealth out of Africa, and out of other non-white nations to varying degrees. (139)

Largely as a result of brainwashing and indoctrination by collectivists and egalitarians, the great European powers decided to divest themselves of their colonies, and were aided in no little measure by so-called movements of national liberation, which were controlled mostly by Western educated "intellectuals". One of these (although not in Africa) was a certain Fidel Castro (who graduated with a doctorate in law). The history of Cuba, in particular the grinding poverty of most of its poorer citizens, since Castro ascended to power in 1959, is a matter of historical record.

Another excellent example is afforded by Kenya. In this context, the current writer can do no better than to adduce the following lengthy quote from a book on subversion and counter-subversion:

"One of the most remarkable instances of a cause being manipulated, if not invented, in order to make a wide appeal is afforded by the Mau Mau movement in Kenya. In this case educated African nationalists clearly wanted to get control of the government so as to steer the country towards independence, but they realized that such an idea was far too vague to appeal to the tribally minded people of the time. They therefore decided to concentrate on one relatively minor grievance which existed by reason of the fact that when the country had been settled by Europeans in the first decade of the present century, a very small area of Kikuyu land had been occupied because, at the time, there were no Kikuyu living there. None of the other land settled had ever belonged to this tribe, and the arrangements made with the other tribes concerned had been perfectly satisfactory. It is a measure of the understanding shown by the leaders of the rebellion that they should have selected this issue for their cause because it immediately awoke a response throughout the length and breadth of the tribal area which could never have been matched by any political or economic programme however firmly based on reality. Night and morning prayers were offered up for the recovery of the stolen land whilst those praying held aloft a handful of sacred soil. The Mau Mau gangs were known collectively as the Kenya Land Freedom Army and many of their songs centred around this crucial issue. In the end thousands gave their lives for it, neither knowing nor caring that the original

area only extended to a few square miles. In their minds they had come to regard any land occupied by a European as their land, and it is in men's minds that wars of subversion have to be fought and decided." (140)

It is certainly true that the real wars of subversion are fought in the minds of men; it is far less certain that any native peoples anywhere at any time have benefited from the expulsion of their "Imperialist oppressors".

Another case was that of Rhodesia, which later changed its name to Zimbabwe. At the time of writing, Zimbabwe is ruled by the man who succeeded the former Imperialist régime of Ian Smith. (141) In 1982, whites were said to be emigrating en masse after Mugabe broke his promise to give them a fair place in the country. (142) Mugabe was said to be planning to Africanise white businesses. (143) It is curious, is it not, how similar is that word to the Nazi equivalent Aryanise?

The reader will recall the claim of the Jewish Chronicle that some of the best brains in the Reich were contained in non-Aryan craniums. By the same token, some of the best brains in Black Africa were contained in non-African craniums, (144) and indeed, this has been recognised by the more prudent of African leaders, including, fortunately for South Africa's blacks, by Nelson Mandela and his (so-called) fellow communists in the ANC. (145) Sadly though, this has not been the case everywhere, and the expulsion of the Ugandan Asians, the perpetual revolution in Nigeria and other countries, the sacking of the whites of Zimbabwe, and, not least, the recent horror in Rwanda, are all terrifying examples of what happens when the forces of free market capitalism are suppressed in the name of combating the mythical disease of racism.

# The Acceptable Conspiracy Theory

We have already mentioned the *Protocols Of Zion*, which spread like wildfire throughout Europe and the United States in the early 1920s. The promotion and spread of the *Protocols* - and the extensive promotion of other anti-Semitic literature - by Henry Ford, led to a public outcry. (146) At one point, a hundred and nineteen prominent Americans of Gentile birth signed a petition against the *Protocols*, declaring it to be a spurious document designed to engender religious and racial hatred. (147)

Nevertheless, this nonsense is still very much alive today, and in the strangest of places. In November 1994, the Jewish Chronicle reported that an Israeli diplomat had demanded an apology from a national Japanese newspaper for advertising books which claimed that the world was secretly controlled by Jews. Jewish conspiracy books were said to be a cottage industry in Japan; among other things, such books are said to claim that Jews are planning to destroy the Japanese economy by buying out its corporations, and that the 1989 Tiananmen Square massacre was fabricated by the Rothschilds in order to discourage Japanese corporations from investing in China! (148) It is amazing that anyone could take such nonsense seriously, much less campaign to suppress it, yet any mention of any sort of Jewish conspiracy in the media, the government, and of course in the economy, drives Organised Jewry and their lap-dogs wild.

Generally speaking, the theory of racism is nothing like as overt as the Protocols and its precursors, nor its various updates, including the above lunacy, but it is, as stated, most definitely a conspiracy theory. However, in 1993 an American scholar published an excellent book which documented many of the more bizarre claims that are made about the mythical White Anglo-Saxon conspiracy of racism, and most of these conspiracy theories, although not generally committed to paper and circulated as trashy, scurrilous pamphlets such as the Protocols Of Zion, are every bit as overt, every bit as fanciful and every bit as absurd. Yet, strangely, no one bats an eyelid at them, there are no demands that they be banned, no campaigns to suppress their dissemination, and no public outcry that some of the most honest, upstanding and economically productive members of the community are being slandered from pillar to post.

The book in question is I Heard It Through The Grapevine; subtitled Rumor in African-American Culture, it was written by a black female academic, so charges of racism and sexism are refuted at the outset. (149) The author has taken a seminal journey through the folklore of Black America, and, a surprising number of the ridiculous urban legends she digs up have both a strong anti-capitalist bias and striking parallels with Protocols-type literature.

Among other things, a soft drink called *Tropical Fantasy* is said to be manufactured by the Ku Klux Klan and to contain an ingredient which is said to make black men sterile! (150) A successful fashion company named Troop (which manufacture sportswear which was popular with blacks) went bankrupt after urban legends drove away its custom. Some wag coined the acronym "To Rule Over Oppressed People"; this was said to be Ku Klux

Klan slogan! A Troop running jacket was said to contain a printed message in the lining that read: "Thank you nigger for making us rich". Ironically, the company was owned by the Held brothers (Jews) and William Kim, a Korean-American.

Kool cigarettes, a brand popular among blacks in the 1960s, was said also to be part of the Ku Klux Klan plot, (presumably to give blacks cancer). (151) Author Turner quotes the Tobacco Institute thus: "One can assume these lies are most likely perpetuated by those groups or individuals who oppose tobacco interests and will say anything to disparage the industry."

Another brand of cigarette, Marlborough, was said to be owned, manufactured or distributed by the Ku Klan

Al Copeland, CEO of the Popeyes fried chicken restaurant chain, offered a \$25,000 reward for information leading to the identity of those responsible for spreading the rumour that he supported David Duke, (the former Klansman who later campaigned as a Republican).

Turner quotes one historian who says that people with low levels of education are susceptible to conspiracy literature. In reality, conspiracy literature often appeals to - and is just as often written by - highly intelligent men and women. However, a high IQ does not guarantee wisdom any more than it guarantees happiness.

Turner also draws parallels with other well-worn anti-Semitic nonsense, including the Blood Libel (with the Atlanta child murders), and with another urban legend popular among American blacks, that AIDS was created in the laboratory as part of a CIA-backed genocide campaign against them. (152)

As stated, these conspiracy theories are absurd and disgusting; certainly they are no less absurd and no less disgusting than the anti-Semitic nonsense that was peddled by Hamilton Beamish and his fellow travellers in the Britons and more generally in the Anti-Semitic International earlier in this century; the big difference is that these anti-capitalist (and anti-white) conspiracy theories, are socially acceptable. Let us now return to the mainstream.

## From "Racism" To "Sexism"

Not content with promoting the conspiracy theory of racism and foisting "anti-racism" onto all and sundry on peril of being branded racist if we reject any aspect of it, the self-styled "anti-racist" bureaucracy has taken upon itself to impose sexual and other quotas. However ridiculous it is to pretend that race doesn't exist and that at times the differences between races will manifest in important ways, it is surely absurd to pretend that sex doesn't exist, or that it isn't important. Even the thoroughly sexist Anglo-Saxon law recognises this. For example, there is a special offence of infanticide. The law in Britain - and in many other countries - recognises that sometimes a woman who has recently given birth will go off her head in some manner and may, in extreme cases, harm, or perhaps even kill her new baby. Usually by smothering it to death. (153)

On the rare occasions such tragedies happen they are usually recognised for what they are, and a murder charge will not be proferred. Probably the woman concerned will be ordered to undergo psychiatric treatment. There is no parallel offence for men. The great difference between women and men is, of course, that only women can have babies, and it is often very difficult for a woman to have both a family and a career. (154)

That being said, there are other differences between women and men in the workplace. Women are generally not as ruthless as men; often (especially non-professional) women prefer to work nearer their homes, to work part-time or take temporary work; to tailor their work to suit their personal circumstances rather than vice versa. All this makes anti sex-discrimination legislation rather impractical. The fact though is that anti sex-discrimination legislation is drafted not for the benefit of women but for the benefit of the same imbecilic bureaucracy which administers the "anti-racist" legislation, and has been drafted by many of the same people. (155)

An excellent if alarming example of this was the company in the United States which operated a policy of not employing fertile women in its battery making division. The reason for the operation of such a policy by Johnson Controls had nothing whatsoever to do with keeping women in their place, rather this was a fetal protection policy which was necessary, in the company's opinion because, in the light of scientific evidence, the exposure of lead to women under such circumstances could lead to birth defects or even to miscarriages. This policy was ruled in breach of the *Pregnancy Discrimination Act*. (Other companies also operated fetal protection policies). Johnson Controls' policy was challenged on the grounds that it discriminates against women - which it does and will do until such time as men too can become pregnant. The American Civil Liberties Union (of all bodies), championed

this piece of nonsense, and eventually the case was argued all the way to the Supreme Court where it was held that companies have no right to operate such policies.

The end result of such a ban is that "companies, in complying with a ban on fetal protection policies, will be opening themselves to potential tort liability in state courts for children prenatally exposed to dangerous chemicals and suffering lifelong impairments because of such exposure." (156)

This is quite clearly an abrogation of the rule of law, for common sense, if nothing else, should dictate that nobody - not an individual nor a company - should be forced into a position where obeying one law: criminal, company or whatever, should leave him, her or it, open for prosecution under another. Leaving that aside though, it is obvious, is it not, that anyone who would be prepared to enlist such specious arguments in the cause of women's rights, doesn't really give a monkey's about women, or workers? For what caring person - as socialists always claim to be - would insist on promoting "equal opportunities" when they endanger the unborn?

Such nonsense does have its limits, thankfully, and, very sensibly, in September 1995, a sex discrimination tribunal ruled against the woman worker who was fired after damaging her boss's carpet with her high heels. (157)

# Outlawing "Homophobia"

Another form of discrimination the public is rapidly growing sick of hearing about is discrimination against homosexuals. A special word has been coined for this and for all alleged fear and loathing of homosexuals and their obscene practises: homophobia. We are told that we must not discriminate against homosexuals - gays as they have the audacity to call themselves - because this too is a form of ignorance. (158) Not surprisingly, the queers have also jumped onto the "stop-the-Nazis" bandwagon, (159) claiming that they too were sent to the gas chambers [sic] in Nazi Germany, and that, by implication, they must also enjoy the special privileges that Organised Jewry and other minorities have carved out for themselves by legislation, and such things as government and local authority grants.

Unfortunately for them, ethnic minorities are just as revolted (if not more so) by their obscene practices, and refuse to have anything to do with them. (160) Ultra-Orthodox Jews find them particularly offensive; Moslem Fundamentalists would like to see them exterminated! (161) While (we are told) only a bigot would discriminate against others on the basis of race, religion or creed, this argument - however sophistic - cuts no ice with many religious groups, cults and sects when applied to homosexuality. Devout Jews, strict Moslems, and many others, find homosexuality deeply offensive, and would shrink in horror at the thought of their children being indoctrinated with queer propaganda in the guise of equal opportunities. Are such people to be compelled to send their children to schools which employ homosexual teachers? (162) Are they to be compelled to let their premises to homosexuals? Are they to be compelled to stock pro-homosexual publications in their bookshops? And so on.

Ironically, like so many other "minorities" who whine and wail about discrimination against them, homosexuals have absolutely no compunction whatsoever about discriminating against "straights" when it suits them. (163)

# Where Does All This Nonsense Lead?

The function of the economy should be to create the goods and services the community demands, and to create them with the minimum of human effort. Now, realistically, it is not as simple as that. For example, people on the far right are often quick to slag off the trades unions, some even insist that the working classes would be better off without them. The truth though is that although organised labour has its faults, and even its dark side (like any other human institution), (164) without some sort of trades union movement, the working man (and woman) in Britain would have little or nothing. The trades union movement was largely a British invention, and has been exported in various forms to virtually every country in the world. That is surely something that every native-born Briton can and should be proud of.

There are other "interventionist" organisations which perform useful functions too; in spite of the race industry and other parasites, there is still a useful role to be filled by bodies which work towards fairer employment practices. Workers should be treated equitably by their employers, and the simple fact is that some employers

will exploit their employees for all manner of reasons and in all manner of ways unless there is some sort of state policeman to keep a watchful eye on them.

What does the race industry do though? What does the equal opportunities industry as a whole, do? It creates a vast self-serving bureaucracy and fills it with university graduates who churn our endless and for the most part totally vacuous reports on *racism*, disadvantage of all kinds, health and safety guidelines and God knows what else. All of this has to be paid for, and all of it ties up entrepreneurs and companies with red tape.

According to one source, in 1990 there were more than sixteen hundred quangos, (165) and that after more than a decade of so-called Conservative government! Again, all of these quangos have to be paid for, and the people in charge of them are - for the most part - university graduates who command high salaries. Their offices have to be heated, cleaned and maintained. Because they are public buildings they have to be guarded; some of them have elaborate security systems. The office of the so-called Commission for Racial Equality is a multi-storey block in London SW1. It has a library which the current writer has used on a number of occasions, but what possible use is the rest of the building I couldn't say. Whenever I have visited it, people have been sitting around talking laughing and joking and generally doing sweet eff all. In this case the less they do the better, because every time the CRE's overpaid bureaucrats stick their unwanted probosces into somebody else's business they don't simply damage race relations - usually by antagonising the dispossessed majority still further - but add to the cost of goods and services.

Let's take a closer look at the so-called Commission for Racial Equality. According to an official publication, in 1994, the Chairman of the CRE was paid (I won't say earned) £70,000 a year. (166) The organisation employed 211.5 staff (167) at April 1, 1994 and had a budget of £15.083 million for 1993/4, £15.051 million of it from the government. One is entitled to ask if that fifteen million pounds might not have been better spent in investing it in black (and other businesses), or if it might not have been better simply to give it away, for surely if fifteen hundred unemployed young black men had been given £10,000 each a few of them might have invested their momen wisely in starting up new businesses.

Of course, quangos do not begin and end with the CRE. The Equal Opportunities Commission received over £5.7 million of government funding; (168) Bristol Urban Development Corporation received £12.8 million expenditurew from the government. It had a grand total of only 23 staff. (169) There are many other urban development corporations, and, as stated, many, many other quangos.

Returning specifically to the CRE, the biggest and sickest joke of all is that they do precisely nothing to improve race relations, and even less to improve the employment prospects of those non-whites (and whites) who must need it. In March 1994, the Medical Practitioners Union reported in a press release that "Of 147,000 UK medical practitioners approximately 18% are from ethnic minorities." Yet ethnic minorities make up only 5.5% of the population! (170) In other words, Indian doctors, no problem, but what about unqualified, unemployed - and perhaps unkempt - black youths in Brixton? (171)

Let us pose the question again, then: where does all this nonsense lead? In a nutshell, to the regulation, and ultimately to the strangulation, of the economy by (for the most part) highly qualified university graduates, people who, in a free market, would be eminently employable anyway. More bureaucracy requires more government spending. The government has no money of its own, and can raise it by a) borrowing at interest; b) printing money (and debasing the currency); c) taxation (and reducing the purchasing power of the general public). It may be argued that the government need only tax the rich, but in the first place an enormous bureaucracy cannot be supported by expropriating the wealth of a few super-rich individuals and corporations. In the second place, if companies are taxed heavily they have no choice but to recoup their costs through raising prices. In the third place, taxing the rich reduces their investment capital, and in the medium to long term this destroys jobs, and ultimately, the economy as well. (172)

# Whites Not The Only Target

We have demonstrated that the conspiracy theory of capitalism began by targeting Jews, and, with the change of political climate, switched immediately to whites, in particular to the proverbial WASPs. We have mentioned briefly too that Asians were persecuted in Uganda, primarily on account of their entrepreneurial success. The current writer once read a claim in a right wing document that there was talk among native Africans of an 'Asian'

conspiracy", (173) which, presumably, was the method by which Indians in particular bought up so many businesses in Uganda and the rest of Africa.

In Malaysia, but not just in Malaysia, the Chinese have suffered similar persecution on account of their entrepreneurial success. (174) The American free market economist Thomas Sowell (whom we have already cited) has written that the Chinese have never experienced equal opportunity in Malaysia, Indonesia, Vietnam, Thailand or the Philippines, yet although they make up a mere 5% of the population, they own the majority of these nations' wealth in major industries including 75% of the rice mills in the Philippines and 80-90% of the rice mills in Thailand. (175) They also earn twice as much as the average Malay family. (176) Sowell also reports similar socio-economic patterns with Indians in Africa. (177)

Although this is not the current writer's field, I have no doubt that the odd conspiracy theory or two is bandied about in Malaysia to account for the Chinese "domination" of the economy. [Odd is certainly the word: can the reader imagine what must be the rhetoric of the Chinese Rice Mill Conspiracy?] In the West, an ugly reminder that whites are not the only victims of anti-capitalist envy came in the shape of the 1992 Los Angeles riots. Korean shopkeepers were targeted along with whites in the looting and lawlessness that followed the acquittal of the assailants of the black motorist Rodney King. (178)

This is because young, unemployed (and often unemployable) blacks see these new interlopers arrive in their country, perhaps (ostensibly) penniless, and within a few years the newcomers are doing better than they are. It is this sort of thing which also accounts for black (so-called) anti-Semitism. When the likes of Nation of Islam leader Louis Farrakhan referred (179) to Jewish landlords and storeowners as bloodsuckers, they were not so much displaying an inbred hatred of Jews as their ignorance of economics. And again, while the likes of Farrakhan are sometimes taken to task - albeit mildly - for their (supposed) anti-white racism, (180) the "anti-racist" lobby says essentially the same thing about whites. It doesn't state point blank that the white race is a race of leeches, parasites and bloodsuckers, but the rhetoric is exactly the same.

# Socialism By Stealth

If it were not obvious to the reader at the start of this short study, then it certainly should be now, that the destruction of the capitalist economy is precisely what a great many of not simply Britain's but the world's bureaucrats want. More than that, it is their raison d'être, for they are the planners who will rule over the New World Order. Never mind that the more they plan the economy, the more they interfere with it, the more they draft and enforce anti-discrimination legislation, the less efficiently the economy will function. At the end of the day they will still have their jobs in the anti-racist", anti-sexist, anti-homophobic, anti-disabilityist bureaucracy, for their fat salaries are paid by you, the very people they tyrannise.

# The Story So Far: A Synopsis. And How To End The Madness

Briefly then, the classic Jewish world conspiracy theory of the *Protocols Of Zion* was used by the Anti-Semitic International not simply to incite hatred against Jews but as a political weapon, in particular it was peddled by the Nazis. As soon as Hitler and his merry men took power they began ejecting Jews from the economy and from the bureaucracy. That notwithstanding, they soon realised, in the words of the *Jewish Chronicle*, that "some of the best brains in the Reich [were] contained in *non-Aryan* craniums", and Jews were discreetly readmitted to the economy, although the Nazis never publicly acknowledged their mistake much less apologised for it. Leading Nazis continued to consult Jewish doctors, who were even released from concentration camps in order to tend the war wounded. It is no part of the current writer's hypothesis that the Nazis' policy towards the Jews was primarily responsible for the outbreak of World War Two, although it was obviously a contributing factor, and hatred existed on both sides as well as mistakes being made on both sides. (181)

After the Second World War, hysteria about racism and the newfound hatred for South Africa manifested a new brand of racial hatred and a new brand of interventionism. Rather than freeing the economy from the tentacles of the imaginary Jewish octopus, the clarion call was now to "liberate" the "oppressed" peoples of Africa and Asia from "Imperialism". For several reasons, this "liberation" was undertaken with far more enthusiasm than the

"liberation" of Weimar Germany from the House of Judah. One of these is that the Nazis had never made any secret of their hatred of the Jews, while the hatred espoused for Western Man and his democratic institutions (not the least of which is capitalism) goes largely unnoticed, cloaked as it is in the rhetoric of anti-Imperialism. Another reason is that as far as decolonisation went, the communists and their fellow travellers were able to present themselves to the common people, and to a great extent to the world, as bona fide liberators. As stated, they were aided to a considerable extent in this effort by collectivist-minded egalitarian academics.

The result of the purge of "White influence" throughout the colonies was far more severe than the Nazi purge of "Jewish influence" in Nazi Germany for the simple reason that Germany was a highly developed, industrial power while the African nations in particular were backward, most of their populations were illiterate, and whereas in Nazi Germany it was the "best brains" that resided in "non-Aryan craniums", in Africa it was "all the brains" that resided in "Aryan craniums".

In the words of Dr Pendell, a country cannot be governed by ignorance and stupidity, so that where the majority of a country's citizens are illiterate, as they were in the colonies, some sort of overlordship is not only inevitable but necessary. (182) In other words, the people were not oppressed, it only looked like they were oppressed. The premature "liberation" of African and other countries from their benevolent overlordships (183) resulted in either the institution of brutal and inefficient communist dictatorships or in chaos. So, how do we end this madness?

First and foremost, people have to be made to realise that, whatever injustices and inequalities there may be in the world, it is not the duty of the state to "redistribute" wealth from the rich to the poor, from the undeserving to the deserving, or on any other arbitrary basis. Nor is it the duty of the state to regulate private industry and commerce, and especially not the social lives of its citizens. In the words of Thomas Sowell: "Someone once said that an idea which fails repeatedly may possibly be wrong. It is by no means clear that the supporters of affirmative action are prepared to go that far." (184) Another author, the distinguished American Jewish scholar Nathaniel Weyl, put it in a nutshell: "...the Negro is entitled to equality under the law and equality of opportunity. Like other Americans, he is entitled to nothing more." (185) That applies across the board, not just to Negroes, but to every other minority everywhere, be it racial, religious, "sexual", or anything else.

Affirmative action, positive discrimination, or whatever label the "anti-racist" loonies attach to this madness, is not the antidote, it is the poison. Furthermore, we have reached the (quite absurd) stage now where almost everybody belongs to one oppressed minority or other. Clearly this is absurd, for if we are all being oppressed, who is doing the oppressing?

While most people would agree that the state should not discriminate against people arbitrarily, (186) it is manifestly not the duty of the state to pass and enforce anti-discrimination laws. We have seen that socio-economic patterns vary considerably amongst races (and indeed they vary in many other ways too), so any attempt to enforce "equality" (whatever is meant by that term) is doomed to fail from the start. What the state should do is work for equality of opportunity, and that means freeing the economy from *all* unnecessary restrictive legislation. In short, the state should leave it to the market.

The reader will recall that under the sub-heading Apartheid = Socialism we pointed out that it is the natural tendency of unfettered capitalism to eliminate discrimination in the marketplace based on purely arbitrary criteria, in particular race. For why should an employer employ whites when he can employ blacks to do the same work and pay them less? If the market is truly unfettered, all such arbitrariness will, in time, disappear. Apartheid could only be maintained by legislation, just as, in the final analysis, cartels and monopolies can only be maintained by legislation, (which is, incidentally, the real reason businesses spend so much time lobbying governments, to encourage them to pass legislation that will give them special privileges at the expense of their usually foreign - competitors).

Reformers should then work not to end all discrimination by employers by lobbying for more and more repressive "anti-discrimination legislation" but should lobby to remove all such legislation, and all such arbitrary government interference in private enterprise. That way, employers who practise discrimination - for whatever reason - can both reap the benefits *and* pay the price.

In the case of the American firm Johnson Controls, this would enable the company to refuse to employ fertile women in its battery making plant, which may well incur the wrath of "feminist" groups, but would save the company the problem of ever facing civil litigation for causing birth defects or miscarriages in its female staff.

In the case of overtly racist companies, it would deprive them of much revenue, for blacks are consumers too. In societies where "discrimination" does exist - be it racial or otherwise - it is not so much petty bigotry as deeply ingrained social mores which are the root cause. In the Deep South, blacks and whites live (or lived) fairly peacefully side by side. That is until the "civil rights" movement, made up largely of Northern leftist agitators - many of them Jewish (187) - started poking their unwanted probosces into the natives' affairs.

Leaving aside the reform of the financial system and other important political-social reforms, (188) the path to a more equitable world lies not through increasing state intervention, and the consequent, disastrous regulation of the economy by unaccountable bureaucrats, but through the total (or near total) elimination of such intervention. This will undoubtedly result in more rather than less economic inequality, but far better a few - or a lot! - of wealthy people than a world where we are all both equally poor and regulated in both our economic and social pursuits.

# Selected Bibliography

Abzug, Robert H.: INSIDE THE VICIOUS HEART: AMERICANS AND THE LIBERATION OF NAZI CONCENTRATION CAMPS, published by Oxford University Press, Oxford, (1985).

American Hebrew newspaper, (selected issues), published at New York.

American Renaissance, (selected issues).

Baker, John R.: Race, published by Oxford University Press, London, (1974).

Asians show the way, published in The New Standard (CLOSING PRICES), May 18, 1981, page 5.

Barnes Review, The, (selected issues), Washington, D.C.

Baron, Alexander: LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES: The True, Unsanitised Story Of "Searchlight" Mole Ray Hill with a critique of The Other Face of Terror, published by InfoText Manuscripts, London, (1994).

Baron, Alexander: THE LIFE AND "CRIMES" OF JOHN COLIN CAMPBELL JORDAN or WHY AN HONEST NAZI IS BETTER THAN A LYING, SCHEMING JEW, published by InfoText Manuscripts, London, (July, 1995).

Baron, Alexander & Goldstein, Rabbi Yosef: THE WORLD ZIONIST CONSPIRACY Exposed By A Rabbi, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (1995).

Bernstein, Herman: The Truth About "The Protocols of Zion": A Complete Exposure, published by Covici Friede, New York, (1935).

British Nationalist, (selected issues), published by the British National Party, Welling, Kent.

Canfield, Cass: Outrageous Fortunes: The Story of the Medici, the Rothschilds, and J. Pierpont Morgan, published by Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, New York and London, (1981).

Chidsey, Donald Barr: JOHN THE GREAT: The Times and Life of JOHN L. SULLIVAN, by Introduction by John P. Marquand, published by Chapman & Hall, London, (1947).

Cohn, Norman: WARRANT FOR GENOCIDE: The myth of the Jewish world-conspiracy and the Protocols of the Elders of Zion, published by Eyre and Spottiswoode, London, (1967).

Delph, Edward William: The Silent Community: Public Homosexual Encounters, published by Sage Publications, London, (1978).

Feder, Gottfried: *The Programme of the NSDAP*..., translated by E.T.S. Dugdale, published by B.P. Publications, Shotton, Clwyd, (1980).

4,000,000 Dead in One Camp, published in The Yorkshire Post and Leeds Mercury, May 8, 1945, page 1.

Glazebrook, P.R.: Blackstone's Statutes on CRIMINAL LAW 1994-5, 4th Edition, published by Blackstone Press, London, (1994).

Goldstein, Rabbi Yosef (see Baron, Alexander).

Grundle, Jimmy: EMPLOYERS' DILEMMA, published in the Flag, October 1986, issue 3, page 5.

Hartup, Gerald: MISREPORTING RACIAL ATTACKS, published by The Hampden Trust, London, (1995).

Herskovits, Melville J.: THE MYTH OF THE NEGRO PAST, published by Harper & Brothers, London, (1941). Hope, Jenny: Nature 'gives a head start to black runners', published in the Daily Mail, September 14, 1995, page 7.

Jewish Chronicle newspaper, (selected issues), published by Jewish Chronicle, London.

JEWRY UBER ALLES (selected issues), published by the Britons Publishing Society, London.

Jones, James H.: BAD BLOOD: The Tuskegee Syphilis Experiment, published by The Free Press, New York, (1981). Kitson, Frank: LOW INTENSITY OPERATIONS: Subversion, Insurgency, Peace-keeping, published by Faber and Faber, London, (1971).

Kosmin, Barry A: Colonial Careers for Marginal Fascists - A Portrait of Hamilton Beamish, published in the Wiener Library Bulletin, 1973/74, Vol. XXVII New Series Nos. 30/31, pages 16-23.

Krefetz, Gerald: Jews and Money: The Myths and the Reality, published by Ticknor & Fields, New Haven and New York, (1982).

Kurtz, Paul: The Limits of Tolerance, published in New Humanist, March 1992, Volume 107, No 1, pages 4-6.

Lebzelter, Gisela C.: Political Anti-Semitism in England 1918-1939, published by Macmillan Press, London, (1978). Lewis, Russell: ANTI-RACISM: A Mania Exposed, Introduction by Enoch Powell, published by Quartet Books, London, (1988).

Massil, Stephen W, (Editor): THE JEWISH YEARBOOK 1994, published by Vallentine Mitchell and the Jewish Chronicle, Ilford, Essex, (1994).

Mises, Ludwig von: OMNIPOTENT GOVERNMENT: The Rise of the Total State and Total War, published by Yale University Press, New Haven, Third Printing, (May 1945).

Molyneux, John: Why Auschwitz matters today, published in Socialist Worker, February 4, 1995, page 9.

Mosse, W.E.: Jews in the German Economy: The German-Jewish Economic Elite 1820-1935, published by Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1987).

Northmore, David: FREEDOM OF INFORMATION HANDBOOK, published by Bloomsbury, London, (1990). Paul, Ellen Frankel: Fetal Protection, Women's Rights, and Freedom of Contract in Equal Opportunities: A Feminist Fallacy, Edited by Caroline Quest, published by the IEA Health and Welfare Unit, London, (1992).

Pendell, Elmer: SEX VERSUS CIVILIZATION, published by the Noontide Press, Los Angeles, California, (1967). Pilkington, Edward: BEYOND THE MOTHER COUNTRY: WEST INDIANS AND THE NOTTING HILL WHITE RIOTS, published by I.B. Tauris, London, (1988).

Poliakov, Léon: Jewish Bankers and the Holy See from the Thirteenth to the Seventeenth Century, translated from the French by Miriam Kochan, published by Routledge & Kegan Paul, London, (1977).

PUBLIC BODIES 1994, published by the CABINET OFFICE Office of Public Service and Science, HMSO, Norwich, (1995).

Public Reply by CAFE to slanders by Gerry Gable (editor/boss of Searchlight) and the leaders of Red Action, published in Nazis Out!, Nov/Dec 1992, issue 7, pages 4-5.

Putnam, Carlton: RACE AND REALITY: A SEARCH FOR SOLUTIONS, published by Public Affairs Press, Washington D.C. (1967).

Quigley, Professor Carroll: TRAGEDY AND HOPE: A History of THE WORLD in Our Time, Second Printing, Angriff Press, Los Angeles, (1974).

Rand, Ayn: The Voice of Reason: Essays in Objectivist Thought, Edited by Leonard Peikoff, published by New American Library, (1989).

Revel, Jean-François: THE FLIGHT FROM TRUTH: The Reign of Deceit in the Age of Information, Translated from the French by Curtis Cate, published by Random House, New York, (1991).

Roots Of Racism, published by the Institute of Race Relations, London, (1981).

Sehn, Jan: Concentration camp OSWIECIM-BRZEZINKA (AUSCHWITZ-BIRKENAU) BASED ON DO-CUMENTARY EVIDENCE AND SOURCES, published by THE CHIEF COMMISSION FOR THE INVESTI-GATION OF NAZI CRIMES IN POLAND Wydawnictwo Prawnicze, Warszawa, (1957).

Singerman, Robert: ANTISEMITIC PROPAGANDA: An Annotated Bibliography and Research Guide, published by Garland, New York, (1982).

Smith, Adam: The Wealth Of Nations, published by Penguin English Library, (1982).

Sowell, Thomas: CIVIL RIGHTS: Rhetoric or Reality?, published by William Morrow, New York, (1984).

Spearhead magazine, (selected issues).

Stoddard, Lothrop: THE RISING TIDE OF COLOR AGAINST WHITE WORLD-SUPREMACY, published by Chapman and Hall, London, (1920).

Sutton, Antony C: Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution, published by Arlington House, New Rochelle, N.Y., (1974).

Sutton, Antony C: Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler, published by '76 Press, Seal Beach, California, (1976).

Thompson, Leonard: A History of South Africa, published by Yale University Press, London, (1990).

Times, [London], (selected issues).

Toynbee, Arnold J.: A STUDY OF HISTORY, published by Oxford University Press, London, (1951), FIFTH IMPRESSION, Second Edition (with corrections)].

Turner, Patricia A.: I HEARD IT THROUGH THE GRAPEVINE: Rumor in African-American Culture, published by University of California Press, London, paperback edition, (1994).

Universal Jewish Encyclopedia Inc., Universal Jewish Encyclopedia, (10 volumes).

Watts, Cedric: Literature and Money: Financial Myth and Literary Truth, published by Harvester Wheatsheaf, London, (1990).

Weyl, Nathaniel: Karl Marx: Racist, published by Arlington House, New York, (1979).

Weyl, Nathaniel: The Jew In American Politics, published by Arlington House, (1968).

Wiener Library Bulletin, (selected issues), published by the Wiener Library, London.

## **Notes And References**

- (1) Karl Marx: Racist, by Nathaniel Weyl, published by Arlington House, New York, (1979), page 126.
- (2) The Universal Jewish Encyclopedia, Volume 1, page 6.
- (3) Aaron doesn't seem to have been a particular pleasant character, for it was written of him that "Aaron the Jew...held us in his debt, coming to the House of St. Alban in great pride and boasting, with threats kept on boasting that it was he who had made the window for our St. Alban...", [Universal Jewish Encyclopedia, Volume 1, page 6, (ibid)].
- (4) This book was actually published under the name Osman-Bey. Frederick Millingen (not Millinger as given by Cohn) alias Major Osman-Bey (or Osman, Bey), alias Kibridli-Zade, alias Vladimir Andreevich, was described by Norman Cohn in his classic *Warrant For Genocide* \* as an international crook of Jewish origin.
- \* WARRANT FOR GENOCIDE: The myth of the Jewish world-conspiracy and the Protocols of the Elders of Zion, by Norman Cohn, published by Eyre and Spottiswoode, London, (1967), page 57.
- (5) TRAGEDYAND HOPE: A History of THE WORLD in Our Time, by Carroll Quigley, Second Printing, Angriff Press, Los Angeles, (1974), page 53. As might be expected this is primarily a history book, but it has become a conspiracy classic; the first edition, which was published in 1968 and cost some \$40,000 to research, was quietly consigned to the memory hole. The probable reason for this is Professor Quigley's claim that a semi-secret network based around Chatham House and the American Council on Foreign Relations has been attempting for the better part of this century to federate the world. And his modest but embarrassing admission that for two years he himself was permitted access to it papers.
- (6) Jews and Money: The Myths and the Reality, by Gerald Krefetz, published by Ticknor & Fields, New Haven and New York, (1982), page 101.
- (7) Krefetz, Jews and Money, page ix, (ibid).
- (8) The goods and services the community can supply.
- (9) There are minor inconsistencies in the various histories of the genesis of Marks & Spencer. For example, one Marks & Spencer historian, Asa Briggs, reports that Michael Marks died aged 48 on December 31, 1907; another that he was born at Bialystok in 1863. It has even been said that the famous story about the five pound loan is apocryphal, but the basic facts are not in dispute. For a fuller discussion the reader is referred to THE LIFE AND "CRIMES" OF JOHN COLIN CAMPBELL JORDAN or WHY AN HONEST NAZI IS BETTER THAN A LYING, SCHEMING JEW, by Alexander Baron, published by InfoText Manuscripts, London, (July, 1995).
- (10) This information is extracted from the Colonial Careers for Marginal Fascists A Portrait of Hamilton Beamish, by Barry A. Kosmin, published in the Wiener Library Bulletin 1973/74, Vol. XXVII New Series Nos. 30/31, pages 16-23.
- (11) Political Anti-Semitism in England 1918-1939, by Gisela C. Lebzelter, published by Macmillan Press, London, (1978), pages 49 & 186.
- (12) This was known variously as JEWRY ÜBER ALLES; JEWRY UEBER ALLES or THE HIDDEN HAND EXPOSED; THE HIDDEN HAND or JEWRY UEBER ALLES; THE HIDDEN HAND or THE JEWISH PERIL; and finally the British Guardian.
- (13) I kid you not. In 1927, Leese, who had by then retired from veterinary practice, authored a book on the diseases of the one-humped camel which was to remain a standard work in India for half a century. He had previously served in the Royal Army Veterinary Corps in both India and Africa.
- (14) The Calmycs are not Jews; this is a well-worn piece of anti-Semitica. See for example the entry for *CANARDS* in the *Universal Jewish Encyclopedia*, Volume 3, pages 1-10.
- (15) JEWRY ÜBER ALLES, February 1920, page 6.
- (16) This is not a total myth. For the truth about the involvement of Wall Street *Insiders* Jew and Gentile with the Bolshevik Revolution, the reader is referred to *Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution*, by Antony C. Sutton,

published by Arlington House, New Rochelle, N.Y., (1974). For the actual role played by Jewish bankers, in particular Jacob Schiff, see Appendix 2, (ibid).

(17) For the definitive history of the *Protocols* the reader is referred to Cohn, *Warrant For Genocide*, (op cit). This book has been translated into many languages, including Russian.

(18) It was dated 1919 but was actually published in January 1920.

(19) Antisemiten-Katechismus: Eine Zusammenstellung des wichtigsten Materials zum Verständnis der Judenfrage was published under the pseudonym Thomas Frey.

(20) The Britons' 82nd impression of the *Protocols* was published in November 1960 with the cover title *World Conquest Through World Government*, [Wiener Library Bulletin, Summer 1966, vol. XX no. 3, new series no. 4, page

(21) DIALOGUE AUX ENFERS ENTRE MACHIAVEL ET MONTESQUIEU OU LA POLITIQUE DE MACHIAVEL AU XIX<sup>e</sup> SIECLE, PAR UN CONTEMPORAIN, published at Bruxelles, (1865). This work was originally published anonymously, ie PAR UN CONTEMPORAIN, but the author was later revealed as a French lawyer named Maurice Joly, who was gaoled for his troubles.

(22) New Statesman, August 20, 1921, page 534.

(23) Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler, by Antony C. Sutton, published by '76 Press, Seal Beach, California, (1976),

(24) The Programme of the NSDAP..., by Gottfried Feder, translated by E.T.S. Dugdale, first published 1932. This is taken from page 29 of the modern English edition published by the overtly Nazi British Movement, B.P. Publications, Shotton, Clwyd, (1980).

(25) This book bears the unlikely title of *Hitler Democrat* and at the time of writing is being serialised in *The Barnes Review*, the American Revisionist journal whose professed goal is ... *TO BRING HISTORYINTO ACCORD WITH THE FACTS*". Anyone who reads General Degrelle's contribution to bringing history into accord with the facts will realise that *The Barnes Review* has a long way to go.

(26) This quote is taken from the July 1995 issue of The Barnes Review.

(27) Jews in the German Economy: The German-Jewish Economic Élite 1820-1935, by W.E. Mosse, published by Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1987), page 6.

(28) As does the Bible. Thus in Deuteronomy 23: 19 we find "Thou shalt not lend upon usury to thy brother; usury

of money, usury of victuals, usury of any thing that is lent upon usury...

20 Unto a foreigner thou mayest lend upon usury; but unto thy brother thou shalt not lend upon usury..." [The Holy Bible: Revised Version In Verses, published by Cambridge University Press, (undated but apparently published c1956)].

(29) "Various passages of the Talmud, expanded by Near Eastern post-Talmudic commentators, forbid and condemn lending at interest in general." Rashi 1040-1105 (a famous Rabbi) wrote "unto an alien thou mayest lend upon usury", which can also be read "thou mayest pay interest to an alien". In other words, Jews were often borrowers as well as lenders. [Jewish Bankers and the Holy See from the Thirteenth to the Seventeenth Century, by Léon Poliakov, translated from the French by Miriam Kochan, published by Routledge & Kegan Paul, London, (1977), pages 15 & 16].

(30) Poliakov, Jewish Bankers..., pages 46-7, (ibid).

- (31) Literature and Money: Financial Myth and Literary Truth, by Cedric Watts, published by Harvester Wheatsheaf, London, (1990), page 51.
- (32) Poliakov, Jewish Bankers..., pages 13, (op cit).
- (33) Poliakov, Jewish Bankers..., page 20, (ibid).
- (34) Poliakov, Jewish Bankers..., page 38, (ibid).
- (35) Poliakov, Jewish Bankers..., page 57, (ibid).
- (36) Poliakov, Jewish Bankers..., page 53, (ibid).

(37) Quigley, Tragedy And Hope, page 452, (op cit).

(38) The Wealth Of Nations was first published in 1776; this quote is taken from page 232 of the Penguin English Library edition, (1982).

(39) Mosse, Jews in the German Economy, page 337, (op cit).

(40) THE JEWISH YEARBOOK 1994, Edited by Stephen W. Massil, published by Vallentine Mitchell and the Jewish Chronicle, Ilford, Essex, (1994). Page 197 gives the Jewish population of Great Britain and Northern Ireland as 300,000. On page 200 it is claimed that the Jewish population of Greater London is 215,000, while the Jewish population of Manchester and Salford is 27,000. It remains to be seen how accurate these figures are, whatever criteria one adopts for Jewishness.

- (41) Jewish law.
- (42) Undoubtedly there are many millions of people throughout the world who have some Jewish ancestry yet are totally unaware of it. And as there are no proper racial criteria for Jewishness however widely it may be defined attempting any sort of census would be an impossible task, even if infinite resources and the will to carry it out were available. Furthermore, any Rabbi will tell you that there is no such thing as part Jewish or half-Jewish. You're either Jewish or you're not.
- (43) As is Mrs Edwina Currie, the fully assimilated daughter of Orthodox parents (and far from the *Jewish Chronicle's* favourite person).
- (44) Mosse, Jews in the German Economy, page 356, (op cit).
- (45) WARRANT FOR GENOCIDE: The myth of the Jewish world-conspiracy and the Protocols of the Elders of Zion, by Norman Cohn, (1970), pages 160-1. [This book was first published in 1967; I am referring here to the 1970 Penguin paperback edition].
- (46) An article published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, May 19, 1933, referred to *THE DESTRUCTION OF 600,000*. The claim that Germany's Jewish population was at that time being destroyed, was obviously something of an exaggeration.
- (47) Mosse, Jews in the German Economy, page 405, (op cit).
- (48) The *Universal Jewish Encyclopedia* reports in its entry for Mussolini that this boycott was meant actually to last for three days but the Duce's intervention reduced it to a single day.
- (49) THE WORLD ZIONIST CONSPIRACY Exposed By A Rabbi: Rabbi Yosef Goldstein Of Neturei Karta Lifts The Lid Off Political Zionism, Annotated and with additional notes by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (1995), page 8.

Rabbi Goldstein is the PR man in the UK for Neturei Karta; this view is one that is shared by the majority of anti-Zionist Orthodox Jews. He said that if he had been the top Jew in the United States in 1933 he would have attempted to appease Hitler rather than antagonise him.

On the detrimental effects of the anti-German boycott and the power of Organised Jewry, see for example the article GERMANY THROUGH BARBARISM TO BANKRUPTCY. Heavy Cost of Jewish Persecution..., which was published in the Jewish Chronicle, October 27, 1933.

- (50) The Dutch Nazi party was founded under the leadership of Adriaan Mussert in December 1931 and didn't adopt an anti-Semitic policy for the first seven years of its existence. By the time Jews were barred from membership in October 1938, the party had about 150 Jewish members, (*The Barnes Review*, June 1995, pages 13-4).
- (51) In other words, this was not simply a PR exercise for the benefit of the outside world.
- (52) Jewish Chronicle, October 4, 1935, page 21. I have relied heavily on this newspaper for my information concerning the Nazi era because in the first instance I was researching anti-Jewish atrocities and wanted to make out the worst possible case against the Nazis. However, as I have long since learnt from personal experience, the Jewish Chronicle is a newspaper which has scant respect for truth it has libelled me personally on more than one occasion and I see no reason why it should have been more honest sixty and more years ago, nor why it should have been less truthful about Hitler, whom it must surely have hated more than it hates me.
- (53) Jewish Flag Officially Recognised, published in the Jewish Chronicle, January 17, 1936, page 17.
- (54) Although there were isolated incidents, there was no organised violence against Jews in Germany until Kristallnacht, and the reason for that has been hotly disputed.
- (55) Again, I must stress that the *Jewish Chronicle* is a newspaper which has always had scant respect for truth, but whether or not each and every anecdote related here is factually correct in every or any! detail, the actual historical trend is too well documented for reasonable people to dispute.
- (56) Jewish Chronicle, May 6, 1938, page 21.
- (57) German Exports Show Sharp Decline; Jewish Business Men Invited Back, published in the American Hebrew, November 2, 1934, page 485. The source for this was said to have been a Liverpool Post article the previous Tuesday. (58) Mosse, Jews in the German Economy, page 375, (op cit).
- (59) Sutton, Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler, page 130, (op cit).
- (60) Hitler's ideas about the Jews and more generally about race were not in fact particularly unusual at that time. We have already alluded in this short dissertation to the perpetuation of Jewish financial conspiracies; during the 1920s and 30s, eugenics societies were both in vogue and perfectly respectable, as was "white socialism".
- (61) American Hebrew, December 14, 1934, page 110.
- (62) See footnote 24.
- (63) Feder, The Programme of the NSDAP..., page 30, (op cit).

- (64) That "International Financial Jew.", published in the Jewish Chronicle, December 1, 1933, page 11.
- (65) OUTRAGEOUS FORTUNES: THE STORY OF the Medici, the Rothschilds, and J. Pierpont Morgan, by Cass Canfield, published by Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, New York and London, (1981), page 32.
- (66) "ARYANISATION" SPELT RUIN Slovakia's Lesson, published in the Jewish Chronicle, February 14, 1941, page 8.
- (67) HITLER'S INVITATION, published in the Jewish Chronicle, August 8, 1941, page 8. (Citing a report in the Daily Sketch).
- (68) Jewish Chronicle, October 23, 1942, page 1.
- (69) JEWS MUST SERVE NAZI POLICY Food Controllers in Rumania, published in the Jewish Chronicle, June 13, 1941, page 10.
- (70) In English, the word socialism has pleasing connotations social, sociable, etc. Doubtless, many people are fooled by this, but of course, to judge the meaning of an ideology by its linguistic root is not particularly intelligent.
- (71) To have any merit at all a theory must be falsifiable, ie it must be possible to prove it is false if it is indeed so. A good example is that of the Christian Fundamentalists who believe that Satanists snatch thousands of people off the streets of America every year and sacrifice them to the Devil. When police departments find no evidence of such ritual sacrifices the true believers interpret this not as proof that they are wrong but only as further proof that the Satanists are fiendishly clever. "No evidence can be evidence" as one commentator put it!
- (72) INSIDE THE VICIOUS HEART: AMERICANS AND THE LIBERATION OF NAZI CONCENTRATION CAMPS, by Robert H. Abzug, published by Oxford University Press, Oxford, (1985), page 142.
- (73) 4,000,000 Dead in One Camp, published in The Yorkshire Post and Leeds Mercury, May 8, 1945, page 1. This British United Press article was filed from Moscow, and stated that the report of the Russian State Atrocity Commission had established that: "The number of human beings who were put to death in Auschwitz (Oswiecim) was over 4,000,000, and industrial concerns made fortunes from their bodies", (the paper's quotes).
- (74) Concentration camp OSWIECIM-BRZEZINKA (AUSCHWITZ-BIRKENAU) BASED ON DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE AND SOURCES, by Jan Sehn, published by THE CHIEF COMMISSION FOR THE INVESTIGATION OF NAZI CRIMES IN POLAND Wydawnictwo Prawnicze, Warszawa, (1957), page 143.
- (75) Sehn, Concentration camp Oswiecim, page 147, (ibid). One is entitled to ask, where is this documentary evidence now? The answer is that it has been quietly forgotten along with the steam chambers of Treblinka, the electrocution chambers, and all the other nonsense of the Holocaust. Well, most of it.
- (76) Why Auschwitz matters today, by John Molyneux, published in Socialist Worker, February 4, 1995, page 9.
- (77) Another one?
- (78) See for example Public Reply by CAFE to slanders by Gerry Gable (editor/boss of Searchlight) and the leaders of Red Action, published in Nazis Out!, Nov/Dec 1992, issue 7, pages 4-5.
- (79) And the short, dark, brown-eyed Hitler himself, perhaps?
- (80) Certainly not in Britain it didn't, where even in the 1950s Labour MPs were amongst the staunchest opponents of non-white immigration into Britain. See for example BEYOND THE MOTHER COUNTRY: WEST INDIANS AND THE NOTTING HILL WHITE RIOTS, by Edward Pilkington, published by I.B. Tauris, London, (1988).
- (81) Whatever is meant by that totally nebulous epithet.
- (82) The war actually began with Britain's declaration of war on Germany in September 1939 after Hitler had overstepped the mark with Poland. For an insight into the communist opposition to the Second World War prior Hitler's double-crossing Stalin, the reader is referred to *I Believed*, by the former time-serving communist Douglas Hyde.
- (83) This series was shown on Channel 4 TV over Christmas 1989; at one point a distinctly derogatory reference is made to Dr Daka's ethnic origins.
- (84) Racism (or racist) is now the fashionable word; over the years it has gradually surpassed the apparently less emotional racialism/racialist.
- (85) THE FLIGHT FROM TRUTH: The Reign of Deceit in the Age of Information, by Jean-François Revel, Translated from the French by Curtis Cate, published by Random House, New York, (1991), page 109.
- (86) Revel, The Flight From Truth, page 111, (ibid).
- (87) Many of them were not wealthy, of course, and in any case the "wealth" of the richest men in Mediaeval times was minuscule to that of the poorest people today. How many kings had hot running water, CD players or a fortnight a year in Benidorm?
- (88) As Jews. The far left has, to a great extent, long been won over to the Palestinian cause, some even go so far as to support terror as a legitimate tactic against Zionist Imperialism (which is usually interpreted as being an arm of American Imperialism). While the current writer does not dispute the reality of either Zionist or American

Imperialism, he does unconditionally condemn all forms of indiscriminate murder. It must be cold comfort to an Israeli widow to realise that the Palestinian terrorists who murdered her husband were not anti-Semitic but sworn enemies of Zionist Imperialism. (And by the same token for the English widow to realise that the Irish terrorists who murdered her husband were not anti-British but sworn enemies of British Imperialism).

(89) In the late seventies/early eighties, at least one pro-IRA communist group published a pamphlet urging these murdering thugs to "bring the war to Britain", while in February/March 1995 the anarchist newspaper Class War incited the murder of the judiciary on its front page in bold letters, and inside threatened violence against Virgin founder Richard Branson and the government minister Michael Portillo.

(90) Icke is no manner of anti-Semite but he is a damn fool, and almost certainly mad. He was said to have authenticated the notorious *Protocols Of Zion*.

(91) Revel, The Flight From Truth, page 114, (op cit).

(92) OMNIPOTENT GOVERNMENT: The Rise of the Total State and Total War, by Ludwig von Mises, published by Yale University Press, New Haven, Third Printing, (May 1945), page 53.

(93) This isn't quite the whole story because large companies are capable of exercising sovereignty of their own. For a fuller discussion of alleged Jewish (and other) financial hegemony, the reader is referred to Baron, THE LIFE AND \*CRIMES\* OF JOHN COLIN CAMPBELL JORDAN..., (op cit).

The far left represent this as public outrage; in reality it was another example of far left thuggery, and was organised in this case by the usual rag-bag left wing mob and the dregs of North London's Jewish street thugs, staged their - by now - de rigueur trick of whipping the gullible goyim up into a frenzy. At about this time these "Jews" formed the 1962 Committee or 62 Group, which, modelling itself on the earlier 43 Group (and with an overlapping membership), set about attacking not only Nazis such as Colin Jordan, but anyone they considered to be remotely sympathetic to fascism, whether they were anti-Semitic or not.

(95) Notwithstanding that much of this *outrage* would be generated by the so-called anti-fascist movement and the powerful institutions of Organised Jewry, a great deal of it would undoubtedly be genuine.

(96) His actual words were "It will be seen that, when we classify Mankind by colour, the only primary race, that has not made a creative contribution to any civilization is the Black Race..." [A STUDY OF HISTORY, by Arnold J. Toynbee, published by Oxford University Press, London, (1951), FIFTH IMPRESSION, Second Edition (with corrections)].

(97) THE MYTH OF THE NEGRO PAST, by Melville J. Herskovits, published by Harper & Brothers, London, (1941), page 30.

(98) In what is now Zimbabwe, formerly Rhodesia.

(99) Writing in Race, [Oxford University Press, London, (1974), pages 408-9], John R. Baker says "Zimbabwe has been included as one of the subjects of a fine book Vanished civilizations [but] it may be queried whether the term 'civilization' is fully applicable here...There is no evidence that a wheeled vehicle was ever used during the period of occupation...We see no sign of anything that might have been a school, far less a university; the people were illiterate, and no native record of anything exists, apart from the stones themselves, the objects found beneath and among them, and vague, almost incomprehensible traditions handed down to the descendants of those who survived the Ngoni onslaught."

(100) See also footnote 71.

(101) For the lowdown on Boas (or Boaz) and his acolytes, the reader is referred to the excellent study RACE AND REALITY: A SEARCH FOR SOLUTIONS, by Carlton Putnam, published by Public Affairs Press, Washington D.C, (1967). See in particular Chapter II.

(102) Putnam, RACE AND REALITY..., pages 23-4, (ibid), quotes the French anthropologist Georges A. Heuse thus: "...we can only hope that precious time will not be lost in recognizing the fallacy of equalitarian antiracism...In our effort to respect the full complexity of bio-physical and bio-sociological human phenomena, we often meet opposition from Jewish academicians who pose as champions of egalitarianism....These champions, whose power and cleverness we admire, often believe that in denying race and racial psychology, they suppress at one and the same time both racism and anti-semitism. We are indeed surprised at their naive and erroneous belief." Like their goy fellow travellers, many of these Jewish mischief-makers were (and are) communists or socialists, people for who truth has always been subservient to ideology. Franz Boas was a German-born Jew who had no less than 46 communist front affiliations!

(103) Black, in "anti-racist" parlance, means anyone who isn't white. Including ethnic Chinese!

(104) A slogan the Socialist Workers Party in particular thoroughly endorse.

(105) The South African National Front, as far as it existed, was largely the work of two British expatriates. One of them, Ray Hill, was an *agent provocateur* who was clearly in the pay of Organised Jewry. I have documented

Hill's unsavoury activities in South Africa and elsewhere in my book LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES: The True, Unsanitised Story Of "Searchlight" Mole Ray Hill with a critique of The Other Face of Terror, published by InfoText Manuscripts, London, (1994).

(106) The economic divide in South Africa, like elsewhere, is, and was, by no means based entirely on race. The great city of Soweto has its millionaires' row as well as its shanty towns, and not a few blacks were better off than many or most whites, notwithstanding their exclusion from the democratic process.

(107) A History of South Africa, by Leonard Thompson, published by Yale University Press, London, (1990), page 156.

(108) Thompson, A History of South Africa, pages 159-60, (ibid).

- (109) THE RISING TIDE OF COLOR AGAINST WHITE WORLD-SUPREMACY, by Lothrop Stoddard, published by Chapman and Hall, London, (1920), page 278.
- (110) Stoddard, The Rising Tide Of Color, page 220, (ibid).

(111) A Social Credit term.

- (112) Not so much ironically as incredibly. Most of these foundations were set up by wealthy people for the benefit of mankind; many of them have now long become troughs at which the anti-capitalist (and anti-white) feed.
- (113) The government has no money of its own; all money the government spends comes one way or another out of the public purse (whether it taxes, borrows or prints money to pay for its expenditure). As the rich, including corporations, pay proportionally more taxes than the rest of us, it is no exaggeration to say that the "anti-racist" industry owes its very existence to the people whose wealth it is attempting by and large successfully to destroy. (114) Socialists and fellow travellers.
- (115) A particularly ludicrous dispute occurred in 1993 when an arm of the organised homosexual movement attacked the Chief Rabbi Emeritus over his condemnation of homosexuality. Internal bickering has been a mainstay of the international socialist movement since at least the turn of the century; the nastiest hate literature of the far left has traditionally been directed not against the wicked "fascists" but against other leftists. An outrageous contemporary example of this is the unofficial Labour Party magazine Labour Left Briefing (formerly Labour Briefing or simply Briefing, and before that London Labour Briefing). Tony Blair certainly has no friends here. [See also footnote 160].
- (116) It is not quite true to say that it is never stated in such explicit terms. A while ago I came across the following gem: "The highest good, as I see it, is intermarriage between people of different ethnicities, races, religions, and cultures. People who intermarry are contributing to the new human species that is emerging on this planet. You can see it clearly in the United States: in fifty years we will have a non-white majority. This frightens many people. I can see the same changes in the cities of Western Europe...In due course, the majority may no longer be white. I think this is wholesome and good. It is difficult for many people's nervous system to have this clash of cultures and races, but we ought to encourage the widespread intermingling of people as we reach a civilisation which is beyond ethnic differences." Incredibly, this piece of sewage was written by an otherwise distinguished atheist philosopher, Paul Kurtz. [From *The Limits of Tolerance*, published in *NEW Humanist*, March 1992, Volume 107, No 1, pages 4-6. This is said to be an edited version of a recent speech by Kurtz].
- (117) Albeit reluctantly. The February 1994 issue of American Renaissance reported that the leading black American politician Jesse Jackson had claimed recently that "...there is nothing more painful to me...than to walk down the street and hear footsteps and start thinking about robbery then look around and see somebody white and feel relieved."
- (118) See for example Nature 'gives a head start to black runners', by Jenny Hope, published in the Daily Mail, September 14, 1995, page 7.

(119) An inherently racist statement in itself, one would have thought.

- (120) In May 1993, Londoner Michael Newland who was later to become the press officer of the British National Party set up a spoof organisation called the White Brothers, which aimed to lobby against discrimination against older white men. He was described by one self-styled "anti-fascist" magazine as a "Male chauvinist nutter".
- (121) It is not part of my case that "job creation" would benefit such people, I am though making the (not unreasonable) assumption that they would consider public money better spent on the truly needy and deserving, ie them.
- (122) I say "world champion" because as any half-knowledgeable boxing fan will tell you, over the past few years there have been so many splits in the ranks, and so many organisations each claiming to be a "sanctioning body" with its own world champions that the situation has gone form tragedy to farce. The three main ones are the IBF, the WBA and the WBC. The WBO has also gained some credibility of late, but it really is a travesty when one can have four or more fighters laying claim to be the heavyweight champion of the world.

- (123) If we leave aside the little matter of South Africa, which has in any case elected very few champions to the boxing hall of fame.
- (124) Sullivan's catchphrase was "My name is John L. Sullivan and I can lick any son of a bitch in the world!", although in his challenge to all comers, he inserted the aforementioned caveat. However, it is almost certain that Sullivan's refusal to fight a black was due more to pragmatism than to prejudice. He certainly fought a Polynesian, and on at least one occasion he refereed a fight between a white man and a black. The simple fact is that there were a fair number of mean black dudes around even in those days, and it was almost certainly one of those in particular that Sullivan wanted to avoid. In spite of his holding the title, a Sullivan contemporary named Peter Jackson, a Jamaican-born Negro, was regarded by many as the greatest pugilist of all time.
- (125) Snooker was actually invented in India, albeit by British servicemen.
- (126) It is far from only the heavyweight division which has produced excellent black fighters, as any half knowledgeable boxing fan will confirm.
- (127) There has in fact been one African "heavyweight champion", the white South African Gerry Coetzee.
- (128) Asians show the way, published in The New Standard (CLOSING PRICES), May 18, 1981, page 5. (The paper changed its name briefly but it has always been known as the Evening Standard).
- (129) CIVIL RIGHTS: Rhetoric or Reality?, by Thomas Sowell, published by William Morrow, New York, (1984), pages 78-9.
- (130) Sowell, Civil Rights..., page 79, (ibid).
- (131) Anyone who truly believes Organised Jewry to be a persecuted, powerless minority, should try crossing swords with them. This is a sure recipe for being smeared from pillar to post and transformed into a social outcast, as I know from personal experience.
- (132) More about quangos anon!
- (133) EMPLOYERS' DILEMMA, by Jimmy Grundle, published in the Flag, October 1986, issue 3, page 5.
- (134) ANTI-RACISM: A Mania Exposed, by Russell Lewis, published by Quartet Books, London, (1988), page 38.
- (135) Lewis, ANTI-RACISM, page 39, (ibid).
- (136) Lewis, ANTI-RACISM, page 60, (ibid).
- (137) No Mercy for Racists, published in American Renaissance, September 1992, page 10.
- (138) The current writer knows of one young woman of Asian extraction who obtained a secretarial post through a personal contact a white woman friend and then brought in her own mother to work for the same organisation. This is a regular pattern in many smaller industries.
- (139) A particularly disgusting example of Marxist-inspired anti-white rhetoric is a series of pamphlets called *Roots Of Racism*, which was published by the so-called Institute of Race Relations at the start of the 1980s.
- (140) LOW INTENSITY OPERATIONS: Subversion, Insurgency, Peace-keeping, by Frank Kitson, published by Faber and Faber, London, (1971), page 31.
- (141) Rhodesia had actually declared independence unilaterally under white rule to avoid what the largely white elite considered to be an impending disaster.
- (142) Needless to say that the word "fair" has very different connotations to different people.
- (143) Spearhead, February 1982, issue 160, pages 2-3.
- (144) Or should one say crania?
- (145) In view of the progressive policies Mandela has been operating since he took power, one is entitled to ask if he ever really was a communist.
- (146) Ford is said to have spent five million dollars pushing this garbage, an enormous sum in today's terms but a mind-blowing one then.
- (147) The Truth About "The Protocols of Zion": A Complete Exposure, by Herman Bernstein, published by Covici Friede, New York, (1935), page 56. See also President Wilson Heads Christian Protest Against Anti-Semitism, published in the American Hebrew, January 21, 1921, page 295.
- Of course, the fact that so many prominent people spoke out against the *Protocols* is no more evidence against their validity than is the fact that many prominent people continue to speak out in favour of flying saucers or astrology, but the point should be taken.
- (148) Israeli demands apology for world domination slur: Top Japanese paper to investigate 'Jewish plot' advert, published in the Jewish Chronicle, November 4, 1994, page 4.
- (149) I HEARD IT THROUGH THE GRAPEVINE: Rumor in African-American Culture, by Patricia A. Turner, published by University of California Press, London, paperback edition, (1994). Turner is Associate Professor of African-American and African Studies at the University of California, Davis.

(150) Turner, I Heard It Through The Grapevine, page 57, (ibid). Rather than insert a mass of superfluous ibidum footnotes I will simply advise the reader that this book is both thoroughly indexed, and a cracking good read.

(151) The (mis)spelling of the word Kool may have had something to do with this, ie Kool as in Klan. The reality is that companies often deliberately misspell their names (and other keywords) as gimmicks and as a part of

their corporate identities.

(152) Actually, the parallel Turner draws here is with the infamous (and quite disgusting) Tuskegee Study in which hundreds of illiterate, rural Negroes were promised free treatment for syphilis but were in fact left untreated in order to study the progress of the disease. [The interested reader is referred to BAD BLOOD: The Tuskegee Syphilis Experiment, by James H. Jones, published by The Free Press, New York, (1981)]. The parallel the current writer would like to draw though is with the canard which emanated from the diseased brain of veteran American anti-Semite Eustace Mullins. In 1955, after a Jewish doctor (Jonas Salk) invented a polio vaccine, Mullins wrote an article for the anti-Semitic periodical Women's Voice called Jews Mass Poison American Children!

(153) The following is extracted from *Blackstone's Statutes on CRIMINAL LAW 1994-5*, 4th Edition, by P.R Glazebrook, published by Blackstone Press, London, (1994), page 11: "INFANTICIDE ACT 1938 'Where a woman by any wilful act or omission causes the death of her child being a child under the age of twelve months, but at

the time of the act or omission the balance of her mind was disturbed...", etc.

(154) A notable exception was former Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher. Many people - including a lot of the women who voted for her - came subsequently to wish that she had opted solely for the former.

(155) Although the race industry in this country has been largely the work of the world's most notorious mischief-makers, Organised Jewry, the whole apparatus of repressive legislation has been warmly endorsed by the Socialist International and its myriad fronts, including the anti-Zionist left, the organised homosexual movement and the wimmin's movement.

(156) For a full discussion of this, the reader is referred to the essay Fetal Protection, Women's Rights, and Freedom of Contract, by Ellen Frankel Paul, in Equal Opportunities: A Feminist Fallacy, Edited by Caroline Quest, published

by the IEA Health and Welfare Unit, London, (1992). This quote is taken from pages 42-3.

(157) High heels claimant fails to win her point, published in the Times, September 12, 1995, page 9. This story reported that a secretary was fired because she persisted in wearing high heels when her boss ordered her not to. He claimed they had made a hole in the carpet. Surely it was rather sexist of her to presume that in this day and age only women wear high heels?

(158) The AIDS industry is in fact largely a vehicle for homosexual propaganda; some of the filth peddled by the

Terrence Higgins Trust as "educational" literature defies description.

(159) An organisation called "Gays Against Nazis" was formed in the late seventies; it had close connections with the Anti-Nazi League (which sported a hyphen in its first incarnation). In spite of the fact that some queers have been attracted to the sex shop image of Nazism (whips, black uniforms, sadism, etc), many of them also have a penchant for those hunky black dudes and would much rather see them strutting around in their Levi jeans, dreadlocks and muscular chests than shunted off to the gas chambers. Fortunately, this inter-racial solidarity is seldom reciprocated: homosexuality remains principally a white man's perversion, and there have been not a few instances of young blacks kicking the shit out of faggots for the sheer hell of it.

(160) When Lord Jakobowits (the Chief Rabbi Emeritus and Britain's most distinguished Talmudic scholar) condemned homosexuality and said he favoured genetic engineering to eliminate this poison from society forever he was attacked by the militant queer group OutRage! as an advocate of Nazi race science! [See also footnote 115]. More recently though, and worryingly, the organised homosexual movement has made significant inroads into the mainstream of Jewry. An article in the Jewish Chronicle for September 15, 1995 reported that the [get this] World Congress of Gay and Lesbian Jewish Organisations was said to have arranged a two day conference, where it was decided that "the homosexual community should become involved in Holocaust education" [sic]. The International Association of Lesbian and Gay Children of Holocaust Survivors was said to have 65 members!

(161) On August 13, 1995, the Islamic Fundamentalist group Hizb-ut-Tahrir (Party of Liberation) held a rally in London's Trafalgar Square. One of the people protesting against it was veteran homosexual "rights" campaigner Peter Tatchell, who was featured in a right wing magazine [The Right Times, Oct/Nov 1995, issue 10, page 6] carrying a banner which read "QUEER JIHAD AGAINST HOMO HATRED".

(162) For the record, the current writer does not subscribe to the view that most - or even many - homosexuals are child molesters, but I certainly wouldn't want any child of mine taught that homosexuality is a "positive

alternative" to normality.

(163) See for example The Silent Community: Public Homosexual Encounters, by Edward William Delph, published by Sage Publications, London, (1978), pages 109-10, and the curious case of the gay public house called Bodgers,

which was reported in the June 1995 issue of *British Nationalist*. Why any normal person would want to frequent homosexual dens of iniquity or even drink out of the same glasses as these AIDS-infested degenerates, remains to be seen.

- (164) Just because there are such creatures as corrupt police officers doesn't mean that we should abolish the police force!
- (165) FREEDOM OF INFORMATION HANDBOOK, by David Northmore, published by Bloomsbury, London, (1990), page 92.
- (166) This information is extracted from *PUBLIC BODIES 1994*, published by the CABINET OFFICE Office of Pubic Service and Science, HMSO, Norwich, (1995), page 25.
- (167) Presumably the .5 refers to somebody employed part-time.
- (168) PUBLIC BODIES 1994, page 12, (op cit).
- (169) PUBLIC BODIES 1994, page 15, (ibid).
- (170) MISREPORTING RACIAL ATTACKS, by Gerald Hartup, published by The Hampden Trust, London, (1995), page 19.
- (171) I do not wish to give the impression that even highly qualified non-white doctors have never been the victims of needless racial or other discrimination, but the point should be taken.
- (172) For a devastating analysis of the folly of confiscatory taxation the reader is referred to *The Inverted Moral Priorities*, by Ayn Rand, published in *The Voice of Reason: Essays in Objectivist Thought*, by Ayn Rand, Edited by Leonard Peikoff, published by New American Library, New York, (1989).
- (173) Issued by the diminutive English National Party, if I recall correctly. This was many years ago and unfortunately I didn't keep it.
- (174) In Malaysia, anti-Chinese discrimination is written into the constitution.
- (175) Sowell, Civil Rights..., page 20, (op cit).
- (176) Sowell, Civil Rights..., page 20, (ibid).
- (177) Sowell, Civil Rights..., page 22, (ibid).
- (178) Very briefly, a tall, powerfully built black man named Rodney King was stopped by Los Angeles police and brutally assaulted. He was beaten with no less than fifty-six blows in eighty-one seconds. Fortunately, an amateur video enthusiast recorded the whole terrible scene. At the end of April 1992, the four officers who were charged with this disgraceful assault were acquitted by a predominantly white jury, in spite of the video evidence. Naturally, many blacks were outraged, and the City of Los Angeles erupted into brutal riots which lasted many days and led to many deaths. In what was largely a PR exercise in race relations, the acquitted officers were later retried on essentially the same charges, and two of them were convicted.

The LA riots were covered extensively by the UK media, and I recall seeing Korean shopkeepers in particular shooting at rioters in order to protect their property.

- (179) I use the past tense here because Farrakhan has mellowed somewhat in recent years. In the current writer's humble opinion, the Nation of Islam has done far more good than bad among American blacks, notwithstanding some of the organisation's fanciful beliefs.
- (180) It has been decided in some quarters that blacks can also be *racist*; usually it is only those blacks who reject forced integration who are so branded.
- (181) Hollywood, which was largely Jewish controlled, contributed not a little to both the war effort and anti-Axis hate propaganda. (I say anti-Axis because this hatred was directed at the Japanese as much as at the Germans). (182) SEX VERSUS CIVILIZATION, by Elmer Pendell, Ph.D., published by the Noontide Press, Los Angeles, California, (1967), page 143.
- (183) Benevolent in comparison with native "liberation" movements. In the words of Professor Quigley: "British rule in the period 1858-1947 tied India together by railroads, roads, and telegraph lines. It brought the country into contact with the Western world, and especially with world markets, by establishing a uniform system of money, steamboat connections with Europe by the Suez Canal, cable connections throughout the world, and the use of English as the language of government and administration. Best of all, Britain established the rule of law, equality before the law, and a tradition of judicial fairness to replace the older practice of inequality and arbitrary violence." [Quigley, Tragedy And Hope, pages 155-6, (op cit)].
- (184) Sowell, Civil Rights..., page 111, (op cit).
- (185) The Jew In American Politics, by Nathaniel Weyl, published by Arlington House, (1968), page 265.
- (186) The key word here is arbitrarily: one must apply a modicum of common sense; recall the case of the company that barred fertile women from its battery making plant.

(187) In the words of Nathaniel Weyl: "...one can probably say that, to the extent that any modern political anti-Semitism exists currently in the South, it is a reaction against the prominence of Jews in pro-Communist and in pro-Negro organizations." [Weyl, *The Jew In American Politics*, page 248, (op cit)]. (188) In particular the legalisation of all recreational drugs, including heroin and cocaine.

Published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing

Distributed by InfoText Manuscripts, 93c Venner Road, Sydenham, London SE26 5HU. England.

ISBN 1898318239

Copyright Alexander Baron, 1995.